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Introduction

About this Report

The Greater Waterbury Health Partnership (GWHP) has collaborated with clinical and community partners on a comprehensive Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) to evaluate the health needs of individuals living in and around Waterbury, Connecticut beginning in 2021. The purpose of the assessment is to gather information about local health needs and health behaviors. The assessment examines a variety of indicators including risky health behaviors (alcohol use, tobacco use) and chronic health conditions (diabetes, heart disease). This current CHNA process enables the Greater Waterbury Health Partnership to examine community health feedback and data comparatively over two cycles, 2018 and 2022. The findings from the assessment are deployed by the partnership to prioritize public health issues and develop a unified community health implementation plan focused on meeting community needs.

GWHP is comprised of the following partner organizations:

- Center for Human Development
- Chesprocott Health District
- City of Waterbury – Department of Public Health
- Connecticut Community Foundation
- Malta House of Care
- New Opportunities, Inc.
- Saint Mary’s Hospital
- StayWell Health Center, Inc.
- United Way of Greater Waterbury
- Waterbury Bridge to Success
- Waterbury Hospital
- Western CT Mental Health Network

...and other community individuals and members at large; full list appears in Appendix B

These partners work together with GWHP staff to determine the data collection methodology for this assessment and provide the funding to support it as well as internal review and voting approval on health priorities established by GWHP staff, partner contributors and research conducted through a Community Engagement process.

GWHP’s Mission

The Greater Waterbury Health Partnership is a non-profit organization that aims to provide access to quality, culturally sensitive, and evidence-based health information to Greater Waterbury residents and organizations, and to coordinate local healthcare services to improve overall community health. Our mission is based on community collaboration as a critical element to meet the needs of our diverse communities and is supported by data. The overall goal of the Partnership is to create a more equitable health landscape in Greater Waterbury by addressing the root causes of health disparities and social determinants of health.

The forthcoming Greater Waterbury Community Wellbeing Profile, to be released in 2023, is a consumer-level report about the Greater Waterbury region and the towns within it. The Community Wellbeing Profile is produced by Greater Waterbury Health Partnership and other regional partners serving the Greater Waterbury area. The Community Wellbeing Profile serves as a resource for Greater Waterbury and the towns within it. Topics covered in
the Profile include: overall community well-being, demographic changes, housing, transportation, early childhood education, K-12 education, economic opportunity, leading public health indicators (such as premature mortality, chronic disease prevalence, health behaviors, health care access, and the social determinants of health), and civic life.

This Final Summary report provides additional local detail of relevance to the region, including data points on the individual towns within it that in some cases could not fit within the Community Wellbeing Profile publication, which is intended for a wide public audience. It also documents the process that GWHP and clinical partners used to conduct the regional health assessment and health improvement activities. You may find this full Summary Report posted on the DataHaven, Greater Waterbury Health Partnership, Saint Mary’s Hospital, Waterbury Hospital or any of the town health department websites.

This Final Summary report was formally approved by the Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Steering Committee on September 26th, 2022.

Introduction & Purpose
Understanding the current health status of the community is important in order to identify priorities for future planning and funding, the existing strengths and assets on which to build, and areas for further collaboration and coordination across organizations, institutions, and community groups.

To this end, Greater Waterbury Health Partnership, as fully set forth in Appendix B— is leading a comprehensive regional Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) effort. This effort is comprised of two main elements:

- Assessment – identifies the health-related needs in the Greater Waterbury region using primary and secondary data.
- Implementation Plan— determines and prioritizes the significant health needs of the community identified through this CHNA, describes overarching goals, and evaluates and proposes specific strategies being undertaken or to be accomplished across the service area. This ongoing process is known as the Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP).

This report details the findings of the CHNA conducted from early 2019 through mid-2021; with secondary data used when appropriate from earlier timeframes. During this process, the following goals were achieved:

- examined the current health status of the region and its neighborhoods, and compared rates to statewide indicators and goals using data;
- explored current health priorities among residents and key stakeholders through community engagement; and
- identified community strengths, resources, and gaps in order to assist clinical and community partners in establishing implementation strategies, programming, and health priorities.

The CHNA defines health in the broadest sense and recognizes that numerous factors at multiple levels impact a community’s health – from lifestyle behaviors to clinical care to social and economic factors to the physical environment. The social determinants of health framework guided the overarching process.
Social Determinants of Health - Informing the Process

Figure 1: Core Determinants of Health
(Source: Colleaga, 2022)

Figure 1. visualizes the many factors that contribute to a person’s health and wellbeing. These Core Determinants of Health have a significant impact on health outcomes and inequity within these determinants, causes health disparities.

Figure 2: Root Issues and Health Outcomes
(Source: Massachusetts Health Policy Commission, 2022)

Figure 2. to the right, visualizes the factors that affect health outcomes, including the root causes of social inequities. Root causes of inequitable opportunity include; racism, homophobia, sexism, ableism, transphobia and xenophobia.
We conduct this Community Health Needs Assessment to meet several overarching goals:

- To examine the current health status of the region
- To explore current health priorities – as well as emerging health concerns – among residents within the social context of their communities; and
- To meet the legal requirement of Saint Mary’s Hospital and Waterbury Hospital to conduct a community health needs assessment at least once every three (3) years and to adopt a written implementation strategy to meet the community health needs identified through the community health needs assessment; and
- To meet voluntary health department Public Health Accreditation Board requirements.

Systemic Racism - The Underlying Social Determinant of Health

It is evident through previous cycles of the Community Health Needs Assessment data that the leading root cause of health disparities and social inequities in Waterbury/Greater Waterbury are linked to systemic racism. The Partnership is committed to engaging in antiracism work within our organization, Board, advisory committees, workgroups and projects to ensure that the work of the Partnership is culturally competent and is addressing the true causes of inequities within our community. To that end, we must consider the following when interpreting this report:

The National Centers for Disease Control (CDC) reflects on Systemic Racism by announcing that Racism is a serious threat to the public’s health; and contextualizes this through the definitions that follow:
“Racism is a **system** — consisting of structures, policies, practices, and norms — that assigns value and determines opportunity based on the way people look or the color of their skin. This results in conditions that unfairly advantage some and disadvantage others throughout society” (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021).

“Racism—both **interpersonal and structural** — negatively affects the mental and physical health of millions of people, preventing them from attaining their highest level of health, and consequently, affecting the health of our nation” (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021).

“A growing body of research shows that centuries of racism in this country has had a profound and negative impact on communities of color. The impact is pervasive and deeply embedded in our society—affecting where one lives, learns, works, worships and plays and creating inequities in access to a range of social and economic benefits—such as housing, education, wealth, and employment. These conditions—often referred to as **social determinants of health**—are key drivers of health inequities within communities of color, placing those within these populations at greater risk for poor health outcomes.

The data show that racial and ethnic minority groups, throughout the United States, experience higher rates of illness and death across a wide range of health conditions, including diabetes, hypertension, obesity, asthma, and heart disease, when compared to their White counterparts. Additionally, the life expectancy of non-Hispanic/Black Americans is four years lower than that of White Americans. The COVID-19 pandemic, and its **disproportionate impact** among racial and ethnic minority populations is another stark example of these enduring health disparities.

Racism also deprives our nation and the scientific and medical community of the full breadth of talent, expertise and perspectives to best address racial and ethnic health disparities.

To build a healthier America for all, we must confront the systems and policies that have resulted in the generational injustice that has given rise to racial and ethnic health inequities” (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021).

**The last 3 years have demonstrated to GWHP and partners that Racism exists in many layers in public health and healthcare systems in Waterbury and Greater Waterbury. Even before the Covid-19 Pandemic, the CHNA reflected serious health disparities by race in this diverse community. These disparities have perpetuated to a degree of critical concern since 2019 and represent a call to action for our health systems and community leaders. This call to action must go beyond declaring Racism as a Public Health Crisis, it must begin with plans for improvement, lead to intervention and be evident in outcomes. This Community Health Needs Assessment will analyze and reflect upon the trends of disparity demonstrated through this data, they are fact and an undisputable reminder that there is significant work to be done to dismantle the biased systems that have marginalized people of color through inequitable practices in our community and health systems.**

Finally, healthy communities driven by data lead to lower health care costs and robust community partnerships that reinvest in community health initiatives and an overall enhanced quality of life. This Community Health Needs Assessment serves as a compilation of the findings of each health indicator. It is a roadmap from which A Community Health Improvement Plan will be developed in cooperation with many community stakeholders. This document is a companion to the forthcoming 2023-2026 Community Well-Being Profile, which is a consumer-level publication of this more detailed report.
Community Served

Geographic Scope

To define community for CHNA purposes, this Community Health Needs Assessment uses a geographic approach focusing on Greater Waterbury. These communities are served by Saint Mary’s Hospital and Waterbury Hospital and do not overlap with CHNA areas identified by other acute care hospitals and/or collaborations within New Haven County. The needs assessment refers to three primary geographic areas: (1) Waterbury/urban core; (2) the inner ring, which includes towns contiguous to Waterbury (Naugatuck, Prospect, Cheshire, Wolcott, Middlebury, Watertown, Thomaston); and (3) the outer ring, which includes all remaining towns in the region (Beacon Falls, Oxford, Southbury, Woodbury, Bethlehem, Morris, Litchfield, Goshen, Warren, Washington, Roxbury, Bridgewater, New Milford).

Figure 4: Map of Greater Waterbury

(Source: U.S. Census, 2020)

Greater Waterbury is made up of the following towns (with 2020 populations):
- Beacon Falls (6,000)
- Bethlehem (3,385)
- Bridgewater (1,662)
- Cheshire (28,733)
- Goshen (3,150)
- Litchfield (8,192)
- Middlebury (7,574)
- Morris (2,256)
- Naugatuck (31,519)
- New Milford (28,115)
- Oxford (12,706)
- Prospect (9,401)
- Roxbury (2,260)
- Southbury (19,879)
- Thomaston (7,442)
- Warren (1,351)
- Washington (3,646)
- Waterbury (114,403)
- Watertown (22,105)
- Wolcott (16,142)
- Woodbury (9,723)

Upon defining the geographic area and population, we were diligent to ensure that no groups, especially underrepresented groups, low-income or medically under-served, were excluded from the assessment process or data collection. The area encompasses western Connecticut and is relatively large with a population of approximately 313,000 residents. The geographic area was defined by primary service area (PSA) and secondary service area (SSA). The PSA is the area that the partnership predominantly serves and the hospitals’ main catchment area. It comprises all of Waterbury and has a population of approximately 110,000 residents. The SSA includes
portions of the surrounding communities served by the two hospitals and has a population of approximately 203,000 residents. The conclusions drawn from the various research components focus on the primary service area.

**Snapshot of Waterbury**

**Figure 5: Snapshot of Waterbury, CT**

(Source: US Census, 2020)

**Figure 6: Snapshot of Health Indicators, Waterbury, CT**

(Source: US Census, 2020)
Executive Summary
Data Collection Methods Used in the CHNA

Quantitative and qualitative data is collected and reviewed throughout the CHNA process. Secondary data sources included, but were not limited to, the U.S. Census, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, State of Connecticut Department of Public Health, Connecticut Health Information Management Exchange (CHIME), as well as local organizations and agencies. Types of data included vital statistics based on birth and death records. Between June and December, 2021, DataHaven and the Siena College Research Institute conducted 9,139 interviews of randomly-selected adults in every Connecticut town for its Community Wellbeing Survey. The wellbeing survey included live, in-depth interviews with 1,078 residents in the region via cellular and landline phone; 352 of which were from Waterbury. The survey was conducted through live cell phone and landline interviews in English and Spanish, with oversampling of randomly-selected households living in harder-to-reach areas such as zip codes with a high proportion of renter households. Adults age 18 and over were eligible to participate. The survey captures trends in well-being and quality of life at the zip code level, as well as by age, sex, race/ethnicity, disability, political party affiliation, and other factors that have influenced life in the state. The 2021 survey was the fifth such effort over the past decade by DataHaven, a non-profit organization based in New Haven.

As with other scientific surveys, all of DataHaven’s survey results are based on weighting the individual interview records by age, gender, reported race/ethnicity, geography, and telephone ownership to be statistically representative of the entire adult population.

Weighted estimates for all questions are provided in survey crosstabs, which are available at www.ctdatahaven.org/wellbeingsurvey.

Throughout this report, “Waterbury” data is included in total data reported for “Greater Waterbury” report areas.

About DataHaven Phone Survey Methodology Respondents were contacted via landline or cell phone. To ensure the selection of both listed and unlisted telephone numbers, the design of the sample incorporated random digit dialing (RDD). The cell phone sample was drawn from a sample of dedicated wireless telephone exchanges from within Connecticut. Approximately 1/3 of residents completed the survey on a cell phone. In addition to the traditional RDD samples for landline and cell, Data Haven augmented the sample using a stratified sampling technique. These stratified samples maintained RDD for both landline and cell but used information from the U.S. Census so as to enhance the composition of the sample, including targeted regions, urban centers, and high concentrations of minority populations. The primary supplier of the RDD landline and cell phone samples was Survey Sampling International (SSI) of Shelton, Connecticut. Additionally, for the cell phone sample Data Haven utilized SSI’s Wireless LITE database which uses billing address to enable the targeting of cell phone sample by region or zip code. This database also permitted the inclusion of non-Connecticut telephone numbers as someone may have moved and their billing address is in the area but their cell phone number is not a ‘typical’ Connecticut telephone number (i.e., not a 203, 860 area code). All of these respondents were screened by live interviewers to confirm their residence in a qualifying town and zip code before interviews continued.

Language Used in this Report:

For the purposes of inclusion and clarity, racial and ethnic groups in this report will be referred to as Black, Latino and White. In areas where more specific ethnicity data was available, the appropriate ethnicity is stipulated. For consistency, although charts may stipulate “Hispanic” or “Latino” this report will utilize the term “Latino” in all narrative interchangeably with Hispanic or LatinX. Similarly, for language in charts or tables that may stipulate “African American” this report will utilize “Black” interchangeably. This terminology is not to generalize or de-
specify certain ethnic groups, it is deployed for overall consistency in reporting where charts and table terminology cannot be changed without disrupting the integrity of original sources. Overall data limitations do not consistently allow for specific ethnic groups to be measured or segmented in this report. This report utilizes the APA General Principles for Racial and Ethnic Identity to insure that the language used is non-bias.

Reported Margins of Error

For the overall sample of 9,139 Connecticut adults, weighted estimates from the survey carry a maximum margin of error of plus or minus 1.4 percent with a 95 percent confidence interval, including the design effects resulting from weighting. For Greater Waterbury, 1,078 adults were interviewed, and weighted estimates carry a maximum margin of error of 3.4 percent. For the City of Waterbury, 352 adults were interviewed, and weighted estimates carry a maximum margin of error of 5.9 percent. The maximum margin of error applies when an observed percentage is 50 percent (e.g., where 50 percent respond “Yes,” 50 percent respond “No”). The margin of error becomes significantly smaller as the percentage approaches the extremes of 0 percent or 100 percent. Since margins of error are higher for small population groups than they are for the total adult population, the differences in estimates between demographic groups that are shown in the crosstabs are not automatically considered statistically significant, though are typically considered meaningful upon review of available data including observed differences among the corresponding groups at the statewide level.

Local Health District and Planning

The Waterbury Health Department and Chesprocott Health District are both members of the GWHP Steering Committee and are involved in the planning and feedback of this process along the way, beginning with a meeting we held on April 29th, 2022 to review early findings and one in April of 2021 to plan for the data collection and funding. General best practices for local health districts are to support the CHNA process through funding and participation.

Collaborative Partners & Contributors

Community Wellbeing Survey:
Angie Matthis, Executive Director, GWHP
Caitlin Collins, Assistant Director of Programs & Development, GWHP
CiCi Iworisha, Data Base Administrator/Marketing, GWHP
Data Haven-Community Well-Being Survey
Mohd Dar, MPH, Yale University Practicum Student/GWHP
Rebecca Zadlo, Data Analyst, GWHP
The Hispanic Coalition
Waterbury Bridge to Success
Vanessa Blas, MPH, Yale University/ Waterbury BTS

GWHP Steering Committee Member Organizations:
Center for Human Development
City of Waterbury Department of Public Health
Connecticut Community Foundation
New Opportunities, Inc.
Malta House of Care
Saint Mary’s Hospital/Trinity Health Of New England
StayWell Health Center, Inc. United Way of Greater Waterbury
Waterbury Bridge to Success
Waterbury Hospital
Community Engagement
Summer 2022 Focus Groups sponsored by:

Trinity Health Of New England: Transforming Communities Initiative

Focus Groups Hosted & Organized by:
Hispanic Coalition
Waterbury Bridge to Success
Focus Group content, survey oversight and data collection provided by: Greater Waterbury Health Partnership

Focus Groups were held:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Facilitator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26-Jul-2022</td>
<td>RIBA Aspira Center, 233 Mill Street 3rd Floor</td>
<td>6pm-8pm</td>
<td>Victor Lopez, Director, Hispanic Coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Aug-2022</td>
<td>La Casa Bienvenida, 135 East Liberty Street</td>
<td>9am-11am</td>
<td>Lenytza Rodriguez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-Aug-2022</td>
<td>Fulton Park: 438 Cooke St, Waterbury, CT 06706</td>
<td>12pm-3pm</td>
<td>Vanessa Blas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-Aug-2022</td>
<td>Smirna Misionera A/G 30 Central Ave Waterbury, CT 06702</td>
<td>6:30pm-8:30pm</td>
<td>Pastor Angel Castellano</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beginning in May of 2022, GWHP and Focus Group Partners Waterbury Bridge to Success, Hispanic Coalition and Trinity Health Of New England began planning for a focus group approach that would engage residents of the South End and North End of Waterbury. Both of these neighborhoods experience the most disparity by race overall.

Methods Used:

GWHP provided a broad overview of community and social health concerns from the DataHaven Community Well Being Survey. Facilitators at host sites delivered the discussion points in Spanish and English, as appropriate, and asked participants to record responses on surveys both in online and paper formats. All responses, attendance, facilitators and supporting information was recorded and submitted to GWHP for full analysis and reporting. Some sessions offered the opportunity for participants to be mailed a gift card thanking them for their participation.

Participants were asked to consider the following health issues and their experiences with them:

- Chronic Disease: Asthma, Hypertension, Obesity, etc.
- Opportunities for safe recreation and condition of parks
- Linguistically Appropriate Health Care
- Access to Care
- Mental Health
- Substance Abuse
- Maternal Health
High-level themes in responses include: (quotes are verbatim)

**Re: Availability of Recreational activity; quality of events hosted by the City of Waterbury:**
“There are soda machines and junk food at the events, how is that healthy?”

**Re: Causes of Asthma:**
“Hispanics live in those old structures, they’re construction workers, they live in the cheapest apartments that have lead.”

**Re: Security of Parks:**
“Hispanics are immigrants, most live in areas that are dangerous and have no opportunities to move up.”
“Police need to do their jobs.”

**Re: Causes for Hypertension:**
“We need to change the culture around food.”

**Re: Access to Health Care**
“Medical insurance is so expensive.”

**Re: Maternal Health Disparities are high in Waterbury because**
“...especially if you are undocumented...”

A full Focus Group Engagement Report from this segment can be found in Appendix A at the end of this report.

**In-Depth Interviews Sponsored by Greater Waterbury Health Partnership through:**
**DataHaven, Open-Ended and In-Depth interviews of 2022 Waterbury Community Well Being Survey Participants.**
DataHaven conducted thematic summary of interviews with City of Waterbury residents who participated in the 2021 DataHaven Community Wellbeing Survey, and were re-contacted by DataHaven in the first half of 2022 to participate in a semi-structured conversation about life in the City of Waterbury. Adults choosing to participate in these conversations were awarded a $40 gift card to thank them for their time. The full DataHaven In-Depth Interview Report is included in Appendix D at the end of this report; these are excerpts only.

There were five prompting Questions for participants:

**Prompt 1: Connections to the community**
Interviewees shared mixed responses about how connected they felt to the Waterbury community but tended to feel somewhat or very connected. People who felt more connected tended to grow up in Waterbury, have family in the area, own a small business, and know community leaders. People who felt less connected had family and friends in other places or experienced a lack of agency in the community (e.g., disability, unresponsive local government). (DataHaven, 2022)

**Prompt 2: What should change about Waterbury?**
One of the biggest changes people wanted to see was crime reduction and increased safety. Since many people’s perception was that crimes were being committed by youths, they also proposed that increasing activities and social services for youths could help stem crime and gang involvement in the city (“You can find yourself getting into trouble when you’ve got nothing to do” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 27). Some people also advocated for more present and caring police to ward off crime and traffic violations (“Just their presence would go a long way to helping out” Waterbury woman, white, age 63). However, there was some pessimism
about whether the government was actually invested in enacting change. Many interviewees reported their disillusionment with local government’s responsiveness on prior matters, despite residents’ pleas to improve their neighborhoods. (DataHaven, 2022)

**Prompt 3: Staying healthy in Waterbury**
Interviewees’ thoughts on health care access in Waterbury seemed to vary based on their insurance coverage. People with (comprehensive) insurance said health care was very easy to access. Many people cited the two nearby hospitals as an advantage, sometimes as a replacement for primary care when appointments were limited. However, people without insurance or with limited coverage had much less faith in health care access in the area. Veterans who used health care from Veterans Affairs (VA) spoke very highly of the quality of and access to care. (DataHaven, 2022)

**Prompt 4: Serving the young and the old**
Outlooks on children’s health were mixed and varied by neighborhood. But people recognized that the school system overall was underfunded and had a shortage of resources to serve all students. There was also a lot of variation in what the schools offered and how healthy children’s lives were based on the neighborhood. While many of the people interviewed were unable to comment on this first hand, their general impression was that it is a healthy place for people as they are aging because of senior centers and programming for older adults. People remarked that they had seen groups of older adults gathering at community centers and restaurants. Some older interviewees reported taking advantage of programs such as Meals on Wheels or transportation for medical appointments and prescription delivery. (DataHaven, 2022)

**Prompt 5: Isolation and pandemic changes**
Nearly everyone had been affected by the pandemic in some way: shifting to working from home, losing their job, relying on savings to pay bills, homeschooling children, getting COVID-19 themselves, or facing a death in the family. Many people received stimulus payments, unemployment, or child tax credits that helped mitigate financial strain. Some people are still dealing with lasting effects of contracting COVID-19 or are still out of work (not by choice). (DataHaven, 2022)

**Direct Quotes from Interviews:**
- “It depends on what neighborhood you live in. If you have the wrong element in your neighborhood, then the older you get, the more you’re considered prey” *Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 46*
- “My children grew up here and I think when they grew up and graduated, it was a much better place than it is now. If I had to raise a child here now, I would choose not to.” *Waterbury woman, white, age 47*
- “When I was on HUSKY, it was very hard... I definitely felt second class” *Waterbury woman, white, age 47*
- “Physically it's pretty easy. Financially, it's another story. Our health insurance costs are insane” *Waterbury woman, white, age 53*
- “There’s a fear of things they don’t understand, or a fear of things that are new and different. I would love to see it become more inclusive place” *Waterbury woman, white, age 53*
- “It doesn’t feel like there’s a cohesive downtown...It doesn’t feel like a place you want to go” *Waterbury woman, white, age 53*

**Summary of Previous CHNA**
The 2019-2022 CHNA process resulted in the establishment of the following Health Priorities:

**Access to Care**
- Preventative/Primary/Prenatal care
- Language
• Transportation
• Readmissions
• Substance Abuse/Mental Health

Health Influencers
• Access to food
• Housing
• Health Education/Outreach

Health Risk Factors
• Obesity/Diabetes
• Hypertension/Heart Disease
• Asthma
• Infant Mortality

Executive Summary II: Key Findings of the CHNA

2023-2026 Health Priorities
The following section provides a brief overview of the key findings from the Community Health Needs Assessment for the region. This includes findings as they relate to the top health priorities that were selected for additional community health improvement planning at a regional level. Each priority lists a subset of focus areas that are representative of issues most effecting the community of Greater Waterbury. These priority areas were established through a combination of community input and partner review of data and have been carefully examined to insure inclusiveness of issues that contribute to health disparities in the community. Data in this report reflects a direct correlation to main priorities and focus area subsets.

Access to Care
• Readmissions
• Language
• Care Coordination

Outreach & Community Trust
• Health Education
• Culturally Competent Care
• Maternal Health

Systems Change
• Substance Abuse
• Mental Health
• Chronic Disease Prevention

Health Priority Rankings-Community Input (Appendix A.)
1. (tied) Outreach & Community Trust/Access to Care:
Culturally Responsive Health Care
2. Systems Change:
Chronic Disease Prevention
3. Systems Change:
Mental Health

4. Outreach & Community Trust
Maternal Health

5. Systems Change:
Substance Abuse

Trends Identified in Local Health Outcomes

CHNA 3- Year Cycle Comparison-a GWHP Analysis

Using the results from questions asked in the last two cycles of the DataHaven Community Wellbeing Survey: 2018; 2021 GWHP conducted an analysis comparing health disparity on several key indicators across 3 years. The analysis demonstrates an increase of health disparity by race in the following indicators below:

Hypertension:

Over the last three years the disparity of this indicator has risen significantly for Black individuals. With 50% of Black individuals reporting being diagnosed with Hypertension while 42% of White and 25% of Hispanic individuals reported having hypertension demonstrates an increase in disparity from 2018 to 2021.

Figure 7: Three Year Comparison by Race/Ethnicity – Hypertension

(Source: DataHaven 2018, 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)

Diabetes

Over three years, more individuals report having Diabetes in 2021 when compared to 2018 with Black community members responding “Yes” to the DataHaven Community Well-Being Survey question at the highest rate.
**Figure 8: Three Year Comparison by Race/Ethnicity - Diabetes**

![Bar chart comparing diabetes rates by race/ethnicity over three years.](image)

(Source: DataHaven 2018, 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)

**Asthma**

Over three years, the diagnosis of Asthma has increased amongst both Latino and White individuals while Black individuals demonstrate a decrease in reporting Asthma.

**Figure 9: Three Year Comparison by Race/Ethnicity - Asthma**

![Bar chart comparing asthma rates by race/ethnicity over three years.](image)

(Source: DataHaven 2018, 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)
Overweight/BMI

Over three years, all racial and ethnic groups surveyed reported an increase in their BMI (Body Mass Index) from 2018 to 2021.

Figure 10: Three Year Comparison by Race/Ethnicity – Overweight BMI

Medical Home

While all major health indicators are showing an increase in occurrences when comparing the 2018 and 2021 Community Wellbeing surveys, the responses below show an increase in reporting a place that respondents consider a personal medical provider in 2021.

Figure 11: Three Year Comparison by Race/Ethnicity – Medical Home
Demographics & Social Indicators

As of 2020, the population of Waterbury is 114,403 (3.7% increase since 2010), including 28,347 children and 86,056 adults. Sixty-seven percent of Waterbury’s residents are people of color, compared to 37% of the residents statewide. The median age was 36.4 years. An estimated 24.0 percent of the population was under 18 years, 35.5% was 18 to 44 years, 26.3% was 45 to 64 years, and 14.1% was 65 years and older.

(Source: DataHaven 2018, 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)
Age and Sex

Figure 12: Population by Age and Sex for Waterbury, CT in 2016-2020

(Source: US Census, 2020)
Race and Ethnicity

Table 1: Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native American</th>
<th>Other race/ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>2,279,232</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>360,937</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>623,293</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>224,094</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>28,172</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>62,117</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>37,760</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>22,269</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>45,281</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2016-20. Source geography: Tract)

Young & Diverse

As Connecticut’s predominantly White Baby Boomers age, younger generations are driving the State’s increased racial and ethnic diversity. Black and Latino individuals represent a much younger portion of the total population than do White individuals.

Figure 13: Population by Race/Ethnicity and Age Group

Nativity and Foreign-Born; Deeper Diversity by World Regions

Between 2016 and 2020, an estimated 83.2% of the people living in Waterbury, CT were U.S. natives. 54.5% of Waterbury residents were living in the state where they were born. Approximately 16.8% of Waterbury, Connecticut people in 2016-2020 were foreign-born. 51.3% of foreign-born were naturalized U.S. citizens and an estimated 76% entered the country before the year 2010.
Foreign-born residents of Waterbury, CT come from different parts of the world. The bar graph below displays the percentage of foreign-born from each world region of birth in 2016-2020 for Waterbury, CT.

**Table 2: Region of Birth, 2016-2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>214,835</td>
<td>12,827</td>
<td>6,357</td>
<td>19,184</td>
<td>8.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>161,534</td>
<td>13,252</td>
<td>10,646</td>
<td>23,898</td>
<td>14.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3,575,074</td>
<td>272,625</td>
<td>248,326</td>
<td>520,951</td>
<td>14.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Figure 14: Region of Birth for the Foreign-Born Population in Waterbury, Connecticut in 2016-2020**

About 18,012 residents of Waterbury, or 17% of the population, are foreign-born. The largest number of foreign-born individuals living in Waterbury were born in the Dominican Republic, followed by Jamaica and Albania.

**Language and Health Literacy**

Linguistic isolation is characterized as speaking English less than “very well.” People who struggle with English proficiency may have difficulty in school, seeking health care, accessing social services, or finding work in a largely English-speaking community. As of 2019, 14,299 Waterbury residents, or 14% of the population age 5 and older, were considered linguistically isolated. Latinos and Asian Americans are more likely to be linguistically isolated than other racial/ethnic groups.
Population Change: 2020 Census

The first set of data from the 2020 Census was released in August 2021, containing basic population counts by age and race/ethnicity. Between 2010 and 2020, Connecticut’s population was nearly stagnant. During the same period, Waterbury grew by 4,037 residents, a 3.7% increase. The number of White residents in Waterbury was reduced by 25%, while the number of other races and ethnicities residents grew by 27%.

Table 3: Population Change by Age Group, 2010-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Population, 2010</th>
<th>Population, 2020</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>3,574,097</td>
<td>3,605,944</td>
<td>+31,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>817,015</td>
<td>736,717</td>
<td>−80,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>2,757,082</td>
<td>2,869,227</td>
<td>+112,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>338,768</td>
<td>339,644</td>
<td>+876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>80,137</td>
<td>71,515</td>
<td>−8,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>258,631</td>
<td>268,129</td>
<td>+9,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>110,366</td>
<td>114,403</td>
<td>+4,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>28,265</td>
<td>28,347</td>
<td>+82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>82,101</td>
<td>86,056</td>
<td>+3,955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 16: Share of Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2010-2020

Waterbury demonstrates the highest percentage of people of color in the region with 30% more than the State of CT overall.
Key Findings

The following section of this report details key findings from the DataHaven Community Wellbeing Survey as well as secondary data sources such as the US Census, The Center for Applied Research and Engagement System’s Spark Maps, Centers for Disease Control and others. This data along with community input of residents with lived experience, informs the process of determining the 2023-2036 Health Priorities.

Social Influencers of Health

The social influencers/determinants of health are critical elements that contribute to a person’s wellbeing. These include factors such as housing, transportation, education, food insecurity, the built environment and socioeconomic status. Inequities in access to healthcare, education, income, etc. lead to the health disparities that we observe in the data, especially when comparing outcomes based on race/ethnicity. As mentioned earlier in the Executive Summary, this report also acknowledges Systemic Racism as a major Social Influencer of Health. In order to create a more equitable community, it is critical that the root causes of social inequities are addressed.

Housing

A Primary Indicator of Health

Waterbury has 40,937 households, of which 41% are homeowner households. Of Waterbury’s 47,830 housing units, 40% are single-family and 60% are multifamily, compared to Greater Waterbury, where 67% are single-family and 33% are multifamily. Homeownership rates vary by race/ethnicity. Purchasing a home is more attainable for White individuals because the act of purchasing a home has a long history of racially discriminatory practices, such as redlining. Though this practice is no longer legal, the historical effects of redlining persist and continue to restrict access to homeownership today.

The Impact of Inequitable Housing Practices on Wealth and Stability

Redlining was a practice originating in the 1930’s that has caused residential segregation and disparities in home ownership. While this practice was made illegal in 1968, there have been long-term consequences such as the homeownership and wealth disparities still present within communities today.

“Redlining – the denial of access to financial services such as mortgage loans or insurance for people living in minority neighborhoods, was a common practice during this important era in the development of the US urban system (Aaronson et al. 2017). Redlining was government sanctioned. Mortgage loans insured by the FHA were subject to redlining practices until 1962 when President Kennedy banned the practice by federal agencies (Executive Order No.11,063,
26

Private lenders continued to redline areas without penalty until the Fair Housing Act of 1968 made it illegal (Department of Housing and Urban Development n.d.). Redlining was one practice among several that created a system of inequitable access to credit, reinforcing economic and residential segregation (Shapiro 2004). While mortgage credit flowed to White families—allowing them to purchase new homes and build equity—families living in redlined areas were denied the same opportunity. People of color could not purchase properties in the newly developed suburbs, nor did they have sufficient access to credit to reinvest in their neighborhoods. The resulting low rates of homeownership are one factor that has continued to exacerbate the wealth divide between White and minority households (Bhutta et al. 2020). Decades of discriminatory lending practices by the FHA and VA cemented the segregated residential pattern of structural racism in US urban areas. (National Community Reinvestment Coalition. Tracing the Legacy of Redlining, 2022).

The echoing consequences of redlining, coupled with municipal zoning dominated by single-family housing, results in de facto racial and economic segregation seen throughout Connecticut. In the table below, the historical effects of redlining are evident, as White individuals are 2x or more as likely than Black and Latino individuals to own a home in Waterbury, Greater Waterbury and Connecticut, overall. These inequities in housing and homeownership do not exist in a vacuum, they trickle down into inequities in educational attainment, healthcare, and intergenerational poverty.

Table 4: Homeownership Rate by Race/Ethnicity of Head of Household, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2016-20. Source geography: Tract)

Homeownership rates also vary by age. Younger adults are less likely than older adults to own their homes across several race/ethnicity groups. However, in most towns, younger white adults own their homes at rates comparable to or higher than older Black and Latino adults.

Figure 17: Homeownership Rates by Age and Race/Ethnicity of Head of Household, Waterbury, 2019

(DataHaven 2022 Greater Waterbury Equity Profile (2022))
Housing is a Cost-Burden

A household is cost-burdened when 30 percent or more of income is spent on housing costs, and severely cost-burdened when half or more of income is spent on housing costs. Housing costs continue to rise, due in part to municipal zoning measures that limit new construction to very few towns statewide. Meanwhile, wages have largely stagnated, especially among lower-income workers who are more likely to rent. As a result, cost-burden generally affects renters more than homeowners, and has a greater impact on Black and Latino householders. Among renter households in Waterbury, 53% are cost-burdened, compared to 36% of owner households.

Figure 18: Housing Cost-Burden Rates by Race/Ethnicity, Waterbury, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connecticut</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Cost Burdened</th>
<th>Severe Cost Burdened</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(DataHaven 2022 Greater Waterbury Equity Profile (2022))

Housing Costs - Cost Burden (30%)

This indicator reports the percentage of the households where housing costs are 30% or more of total household income. This indicator provides information on the cost of monthly housing expenses for owners and renters. The information offers a measure of housing affordability and excessive shelter costs. The data also serve to aid in the development of housing assistance programs to meet the needs of people at different economic levels. Of the 40,937 total households in the report area, 18,719 or 45.73% of the population live in cost burdened households.

Figure 19: Cost-Burdened Households/ Table 6: Cost-Burdened Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Households</th>
<th>Cost Burdened Households (Housing Costs Exceed 30% of Income)</th>
<th>Cost Burdened Households, Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury, CT</td>
<td>40,937</td>
<td>18,719</td>
<td>45.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>81,685</td>
<td>23,650</td>
<td>28.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>1,370,746</td>
<td>476,982</td>
<td>34.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-19. Source geography)
Evictions

Connecticut Evictions

Eviction data was dramatically impacted due to the federal and state Covid Eviction Moratorium that was put in place in 2020. In 2020 the yearly number of evictions was reduced by 67% compared to the pre-pandemic average. However, the last of Connecticut’s emergency protections expired on February 15, 2022; potentially causing a drastic reversal of this trend in 2022 and beyond.

Figure 20: Eviction Filings by Town – Map
(Data Source: Map CTData Collaborative-Get the data-Embed-Download image-Created with Datawrapper)

Eviction Filings by Town

When looking at all 169 Connecticut towns nearly half of the evictions between 2017 and 2021 came from 5 cities which include: Waterbury, Hartford, Bridgeport, New Haven and New Britain.

Figure 21: Eviction Filings by Town

Household Overcrowding

Household overcrowding is defined as having more than one occupant per room. Overcrowding may increase the spread of illnesses among the household and can be associated with higher levels of stress. During the height of the COVID-19 Pandemic, overcrowding was a risk factor for rapid spread of infections in households. Increasing the availability of appropriately-sized affordable housing units helps to alleviate overcrowding.
Table 5: Overcrowded Households by Race/Ethnicity of Head of Household, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>25,541</td>
<td>7,252</td>
<td>4,437</td>
<td>10,771</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2016-20. Source geography: Tract)

Transportation

Transportation is a social determinant of health. This visual demonstrates how Waterbury residents are at a greater risk for transportation insecurity which becomes a daily stressor and has an impact on health outcomes. Whether it is the ability to get to medical appointments, drive to the grocery store, to work, etc., reliable transportation is a key factor for overall wellbeing. It is important to point out that the Greater Waterbury region (Connecticut Community Foundation Service Area) demonstrates a greater rate of having access to a vehicle when needed than Connecticut overall. This indicates that although the towns surrounding Waterbury are reporting better access to transportation, Waterbury residents are reporting this as a barrier at a higher rate when compared to all Connecticut residents’ responses.

Figure 22: Access to Vehicle

Access to a personal vehicle may also be considered a measure of wealth since reliable transportation plays a significant role in job access and quality of life. Vehicle access reduces the time a family may spend running errands or traveling to appointments, school, or work. Alternatively, no access to a vehicle makes it very difficult to attend medical appointments or obtain food and groceries.
Table 6: Households with No Vehicle at Home by Race/Ethnicity of Head of Household, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Other race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Share</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>121,434</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>55,942</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>27,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>14,115</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7,945</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>8,406</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>2,711</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2,168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: DataHaven 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)

Food Security

Food Insecurity Rate

This indicator reports the estimated percentage of the population that experienced food insecurity at some point during the report year. Food insecurity is the household-level economic and social condition of limited or uncertain access to adequate food.

Figure 23: Food Insecurity by Area

(Source: Feeding America. 2017. Source geography: County)

Food Environment-Grocery Stores

Healthy dietary behaviors are supported by access to nutritious foods, and grocery stores are a major provider of these foods. There are 38 grocery establishments in the report area, a rate of 22.19 per 100,000 population. Grocery stores are defined as supermarkets and smaller grocery stores primarily engaged in retailing a general line of food, such as canned and frozen foods; fresh fruits and vegetables; and fresh and prepared meats, fish, and poultry. Delicatessen-type establishments are also included. Convenience stores and large general merchandise stores that also retail food, such as supercenters and warehouse club stores, are excluded. It is important to note that although the availability of Grocery Stores in Waterbury is adequate, the stores are concentrated in pockets which create food deserts.

Figure 24: Grocery Stores by Area

(Source: US Census Bureau, County Business Patterns. Additional data analysis by CARES. 2020. Source geography: County)
Food Environment - Food Desert Census Tracts

This indicator reports the number of neighborhoods in the report area that are within food deserts. The USDA Food Access Research Atlas defines a food desert as any neighborhood that lacks healthy food sources due to income level, distance to supermarkets, or vehicle access. Waterbury has a population of 93,170 living in food deserts and a total of 18 census tracts classified as food deserts by the USDA; even though Grocery Stores are widespread across Waterbury.

Figure 25: Food Deserts by Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>166,450</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>93,170</td>
<td>73,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchfield County, CT</td>
<td>189,927</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13,664</td>
<td>18,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven County, CT</td>
<td>862,477</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>107,245</td>
<td>250,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3,574,097</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>317,446</td>
<td>865,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>308,745,538</td>
<td>9,293</td>
<td>63,238</td>
<td>39,074,974</td>
<td>81,323,997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Education

This category contains indicators that describe the education system and the educational outcomes of report area populations. Education metrics can be used to describe variation in population access, proficiency, and attainment throughout the education system, from access to pre-kindergarten through advanced degree attainment. These indicators are important because education is closely tied to health outcomes and economic opportunity. There are clear education deficits and disparities in Waterbury even without breaking down the indicators by race/ethnicity.

The data in the following tables demonstrate that students in Waterbury are significantly more likely to test as “non-proficient” in math and reading than the State of CT and the nation as a whole. The data also shows that Waterbury residents are much less likely to have a high school diploma when compared to rates nationally and statewide. This information demonstrates how critical the work of education and enrichment organizations are for advancing equity in the Waterbury education system.

Access - Head Start

Head Start is a program designed to help children from birth to age five who come from families at or below poverty level. The program’s goal is to help children become ready for kindergarten while also providing the basic needs to thrive, including health care and food support.

This indicator reports the number and rate of Head Start program facilities per 10,000 children under age 5. Head Start facility data is acquired from the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) 2020 Head Start locator. Population data is from the 2010 US Decennial Census. The report area has a total 3 Head Start programs with a rate of 46.68 per 10,000 children under 5 years old. The table below demonstrates that Waterbury has a higher rate of Head Start Programs than both the State and National levels.

Figure 26: Availability of Head Start Programs

(Source: US Department of Health & Human Services, HRSA - Administration for Children and Families, 2019. Source geography: Address)
Attainment - High School Graduation Rate

The table below demonstrates that Waterbury residents are significantly less likely to have a High School Diploma than both Connecticut and the nation as a whole. This data reflects the impact that barriers to educational attainment have on Waterbury students and how failure to graduate influences future economic opportunity.

Figure 27: High school Diploma Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Population Age 25+</th>
<th>Population Age 25+ with No High School Diploma</th>
<th>Population Age 25+ with No High School Diploma, Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>110,873</td>
<td>16,963</td>
<td>15.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>2,489,205</td>
<td>225,550</td>
<td>9.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>222,836,834</td>
<td>25,562,680</td>
<td>11.47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2016-20. Source geography: Tract)

Proficiency - Student Reading Proficiency (4th Grade)

When discussing student “performance”, it is essential to acknowledge the barriers and inequities existing within the education system and beyond, and their impact on students’ learning. Information about student performance in the 4th grade English Language Arts portion of the state-specific standardized tests are displayed in the table below. Of 8,267 students tested, 36.0% of 4th graders performed at or above the "proficient" level, and 64.1% tested below the "proficient" level, according to the latest data. Students in Waterbury tested worse than the statewide rate of 50.5%.

Figure 28: Student Reading Proficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Students with Valid Test Scores</th>
<th>Students Scoring 'Proficient' or Better, Percent</th>
<th>Students Scoring 'Not Proficient' or Worse, Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>8,267</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>133,243</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>13,385,663</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Proficiency - Student Math Proficiency (4th Grade)

Information about student performance in the 4th grade Math portion of the state-specific standardized tests are displayed in the table below. Of 2,030 students tested, 33.0% of 4th graders performed at or above the "proficient" level, and 67.0% tested below the "proficient" level, according to the latest data. Students in Waterbury tested worse than the statewide rate of 47.7%.

Figure 29: Student Math Proficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Students with Valid Test Scores</th>
<th>Students Scoring 'Proficient' or Better, Percent</th>
<th>Students Scoring 'Not Proficient' or Worse, Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>2,030</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>135,751</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>13,412,890</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economy
There are 39,067 total jobs in Waterbury, with the largest share in the Health Care and Social Assistance sector. While these data are from 2019 and do not include economic outcomes related to the COVID-19 pandemic, they describe general labor market strengths and average wages for the area.

Table 7: Employment by Sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Connecticut</th>
<th>Waterbury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total jobs</td>
<td>Avg annual pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sectors</td>
<td>1,670,354</td>
<td>$69,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care and Social Assistance</td>
<td>271,014</td>
<td>$54,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>175,532</td>
<td>$35,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>161,893</td>
<td>$85,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and Food Services</td>
<td>129,012</td>
<td>$23,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services</td>
<td>89,852</td>
<td>$47,443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: US Department of Commerce, US Bureau of Economic Analysis. Source geography)

Unemployment

Rates of unemployment also vary by race and ethnicity. Generally, workers of color are more likely to be unemployed due to factors ranging from biased hiring practices, lack of transportation, disparities in educational attainment, and other social barriers to equitable employment opportunities. Overall unemployment in Waterbury averaged 10% in 2019.

Figure 30: Unemployment Rates by Race/Ethnicity, 2019

(DataHaven 2022 Greater Waterbury Equity Profile (2022))
Employment - Unemployment Rate

Total unemployment in Waterbury equals 3,747, or 4.1% of the civilian non-institutionalized population age 16 and older (non-seasonally adjusted). This indicator is relevant because unemployment creates financial instability and barriers to access including insurance coverage, health services, healthy food, and other necessities that contribute to poor health status.

Figure 31: Unemployment Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Labor Force</th>
<th>Number Employed</th>
<th>Number Unemployed</th>
<th>Unemployment Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>91,517</td>
<td>87,769</td>
<td>3,747</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>1,902,331</td>
<td>1,826,860</td>
<td>75,471</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>165,351,347</td>
<td>159,733,523</td>
<td>5,617,823</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2016-20. Source Geography: Tract)

Figure 32: Average Annual Unemployment Rate, 2011-2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Income & Wealth

In 2020, the median household income of the 40.9k households in Waterbury, CT grew to $46,329 from the previous year's value of $42,401. An estimated 10% of households had income below $10,000 a year and 2.6% had income over $200,000 or more. In 2020, at least 1 in 5 households are below the ALICE (Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed) threshold in 148 CT towns/cities (United Way, 2020 ALICE Report Executive Summary). The ALICE map is included in Appendix E.
The following chart displays the households in Waterbury, CT distributed between a series of income buckets compared to the national averages for each bucket. 10% of households have an income in the <$10k range.

**Figure 33: Distribution of Wealth-Waterbury**

Median earnings for full-time year-round workers was $44,886. Male full-time year-round workers had median earnings of $48,889. Female full-time year-round workers had median earnings of $40,493.

*(Data Source: US Census 2020)*

**Neighborhood Income and Resident Demographics**

Over the past 40 years, neighborhood income inequality has grown statewide as the share of the population living in wealthy or poor neighborhoods has increased and the population in middle income areas declined in a process known as “economic sorting,” which often leads to further disparities in access to economic opportunity, healthy environments, and municipal resources.

*(DataHaven 2022 Greater Waterbury Equity Profile (2022))
**Income Factors**

Individual earnings vary by race/ethnicity, sex, and other factors. These can be measured comparing the differences in average earnings between groups. White workers and men often out-earn workers of color and women. These trends hold even when controlling for educational attainment. There is a clear correlation between disparities in earnings among race/ethnicity and disparities and inequities in rates of educational attainment. This data demonstrates the result of inequitable education which results in disparity in economic opportunity. This information can also indicate the effect of biased hiring practices and systemic racism in professional work environments, overall.

**Figure 35: Median Income by Race/Ethnicity and Sex for Full-Time Workers Ages 25 and Over with Positive Income, 2019**

(DataHaven 2022 Greater Waterbury Equity Profile (2022))

**Poverty and Participation in Government Programs**

In 2016-2020, 21.3% of people in Waterbury were in poverty. An estimated 30.9 percent of children under 18 were below the poverty level, compared with 11.8 percent of people 65 years old and over. An estimated 19.7 percent of people 18 to 64 years were below the poverty level.

**Figure 36: Poverty Rates in Waterbury, CT in 2016-2020**

(Source: DataHaven, 2021)
**Income - Public Assistance Income**

This indicator reports the percentage of households receiving public assistance income. Public assistance income includes general assistance and Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF). Separate payments received for hospital or other medical care (vendor payments) are excluded. This does not include Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or noncash benefits such as Food Stamps. Waterbury data demonstrates the highest percentage of households receiving assistance compared to the region and the state.

**Figure 37: Percent Household with Public Assistance Income**

(Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2016-20. Source geography: Tract)

**Poverty - Population Below 100% FPL (Annual)**

Poverty is considered a key driver of health status.

In the report area 10.83% or 17,087 individuals are living in households with income below 100% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). This indicator is relevant because poverty creates barriers to access including health services, food, and other necessities that contribute to poor health status.

**Table 8: Individuals Living in Poverty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Population in Poverty</th>
<th>Percent Population in Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>157,832</td>
<td>17,087</td>
<td>10.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>206,625</td>
<td>20,296</td>
<td>9.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3,437,474</td>
<td>333,435</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, 2020. Source geography: County)

**SNAP Benefits - Households Receiving SNAP**

In 2016-2020, 28.8% of households received SNAP (the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) Benefits. An estimated 44.4% of households that received SNAP had children under 18, and 35.2% of households that received SNAP had one or more people 60 years and over. An estimated 34.7% of all households receiving SNAP were families with a single female head of household. An estimated 24.3% of households receiving SNAP had two or more workers in the past 12 months.
In Waterbury an estimate 14,995 or 24.23% households receive Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits. The value for the reported area is greater than the national average of 11.74%. Please note that Waterbury is included in the Greater Waterbury report area. This indicator is relevant because it assesses vulnerable populations which are more likely to have multiple health access, health status, and social support needs; when combined with poverty data, providers can use this measure to identify gaps in eligibility and enrollment.

### Table 9: Households Receiving SNAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Households</th>
<th>Households Receiving SNAP Benefits</th>
<th>Percent Households Receiving SNAP Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>61,884</td>
<td>14,995</td>
<td>24.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>81,685</td>
<td>5,638</td>
<td>6.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>1,370,746</td>
<td>162,967</td>
<td>11.89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Figure 38: Population Receiving SNAP Benefits, 2015 - 2019

(Source: DataHaven CT)

### Figure 39: Households Receiving SNAP Benefits by Race/Ethnicity, 2015 - 2019

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2016-20. Source geography: Tract)
Physical Environment
The health of a community is largely affected by the physical environment. A safe, clean, environment that facilitates access to basic necessities, like grocery stores and recreational opportunities is important for maintaining and improving community health. The built environment is often reflective of the disparities and inequities experienced by residents.

Air & Water Quality - Respiratory Hazard Index
This indicator reports the non-cancer respiratory hazard index score. This score represents the potential for non-cancer adverse health effects, where scores less than 1.0 indicate adverse health effects are unlikely, and scores of 1.0 or more indicate a potential for adverse health effects. The chart below indicates that Waterbury has a higher likelihood of non-cancerous adverse health effects compared to the region.

**Figure 40: Respiratory Hazards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Respiratory Hazard Index Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>168,158</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>247,123</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3,574,094</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>312,572,412</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Brown Fields
Additionally, Waterbury has 42 areas that are deemed “Brown Fields” (CT DEEP, CT Brownfields Inventory, 2022). Brown Fields are, “sites, once used for industrial, manufacturing, or commercial uses, have been abandoned or underutilized due to known or suspected contamination from past uses (CT DEEP, Brownfield in Connecticut, 2022)”. These areas can pose risk to human health by exposure to pollutants, if not remediated and redeveloped. The State of CT has established the Connecticut Remediation Standard Regulations which describe the appropriate cleanup methods for these contaminated areas and have a PREPARED Municipal Workbook that outlines strategies for redeveloping these areas (CT DEEP, Brownfield in Connecticut, 2022).

In 2012, the City of Waterbury worked to remediate one of the city’s brownfields located in the South End on Mill Street, and was developed into the home of Brass City Harvest, “a local non-profit committed to promoting urban agriculture and self-sufficient communities (CT DEEP, EPA Brownfield Success in New England: South End Green Houses, Waterbury, CT, 2012)”. 

Built Environment - Households with No Computer
This indicator reports the percentage of households who don’t own or use any types of computers, including desktop or laptop, smartphone, tablet or other portable wireless computer, and other types of computers, based on the 2016-2020 American Community Survey estimates. Of the 63,834 total households in Waterbury, 8,782 or 13.76% are without a computer. If Waterbury were excluded from Greater Waterbury in the chart below, the disparity between the two areas would be more dramatic. Lacking access to technology creates barriers in several ways including; access to educational resources, inability to communicate with health providers virtually, and especially during the COVID-19 Pandemic, a barrier to communication with friends and loved ones.

*Note: The ACS2016-20 questions about internet/computer usage are not asked for the group quarters population, so data do not include people living in housing such as dorms, prisons, nursing homes, etc.*
Community Design - Park Access (CDC)

This indicator reports the percentage of the population living within a 1/2 mile of a park. This indicator is relevant because access to outdoor recreation encourages opportunities for physical activity and other activities for wellbeing such as walking, meditation, group connection, bike riding and play. Not only is moving your body beneficial for physical health, but also essential for mental and emotional health. While proximity to parks is an important indicator, the condition of these parks may be even more important. Factors such as safety, accessibility to bathrooms, ADA compliance, and maintenance of equipment are all critical elements of whether a park can be considered accessible to residents or not.

Figure 42: Proximity to Park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Population, 2013-17</th>
<th>Population Within 1/2 Mile of a Park</th>
<th>Percent Within 1/2 Mile of a Park</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>165,014</td>
<td>72,608</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>214,925</td>
<td>52,942</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3,594,478</td>
<td>1,473,735</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>321,004,407</td>
<td>148,896,178</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC - National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network. 2015. Source geography: Tract)

Health

The socioeconomic disparities described before this section have a direct impact on health outcomes. Factors such as stable housing, employment, literacy and linguistic fluency, environmental hazards, and transportation all impact access to care, physical and mental health outcomes, and overall quality of life. Income and employment status often drive differences in access to healthcare, the likelihood of getting preventive screenings as recommended, the affordability of life-saving medicines, and the ability to purchase other goods and services, including high-quality housing and nutritious food. As demonstrated earlier in this report, race is also a deciding factor in socioeconomic factors that correlate to health.

Life expectancy is a good proxy for overall health and well-being since it is the culmination of so many other social and health factors. The average life expectancy in Waterbury is 76.8 years, compared to 79.7 across Greater Waterbury, and 80.3 years statewide.

(Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2016-20. Source geography: Tract)
Figure 43: Life Expectancy, Greater Waterbury by Census Tract, 2015

Life expectancy in Greater Waterbury is high, but often differs by several years between adjacent neighborhoods

Estimated life expectancy in years, Greater Waterbury, 2010-2015

By location, with neighboring states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Life Expectancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naugatuck</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(DataHaven 2022 Greater Waterbury Equity Profile (2022))

Health Insurance and Health Access

Health-related challenges begin with access to care. Due to differences in workplace benefits, income, and eligibility factors, Black and especially Latino individuals are less likely to have health insurance than White individuals.
Figure 44: Uninsured rate among adults ages 19-64 by Race/Ethnicity, 2019

(DataHaven 2022 Greater Waterbury Equity Profile (2022))

Primary Care and Prevention

While all major health outcomes are showing an increase in occurrences when comparing the 2018 to 2021 Community Wellbeing survey, these responses shows an increase in reporting a place that they connect as a personal medical provider in 2021.

Figure 45: Medical Home

(Source: DataHaven 2018, 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)
Insurance - Population Receiving Medicaid

This indicator reports the percentage of the population with insurance enrolled in Medicaid (or other means-tested public health insurance). This indicator is relevant because it assesses vulnerable populations which are more likely to have multiple health access, health status, and social support needs; which when combined with poverty data, providers can use this measure to identify gaps in eligibility and enrollment.

Table 10: Insurance Breakdowns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Population (For Whom Insurance Status is Determined)</th>
<th>Population with Any Health Insurance</th>
<th>Population Receiving Medicaid</th>
<th>Percent of Insured Population Receiving Medicaid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>159,996</td>
<td>148,550</td>
<td>59,865</td>
<td>40.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>211,006</td>
<td>204,041</td>
<td>30,199</td>
<td>14.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3,524,580</td>
<td>3,336,643</td>
<td>717,101</td>
<td>21.49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Hospitalizations - Emergency Room Visits

Data about residents’ visits to hospitals and emergency rooms may be used as a tool to examine variations in health and quality of life by geography and within specific populations. Unless otherwise noted, all information in this profile is based on a DataHaven analysis (2022) of 2018-2021 CHIME data provided by the Connecticut Hospital Association upon request from a special study agreement with partner hospitals and DataHaven. The CHIME hospital encounter data extraction included de-identified information for each of several million Connecticut hospital and emergency department encounters incurred by any residents of any town in Connecticut. Any encounter incurred by any resident of these towns at any Connecticut hospital would be included in this dataset, regardless of where they received treatment.

Annualized encounter rates were calculated for the indicator flags assigned within the dataset including Asthma, COPD, Substance Abuse, and many other conditions. Analyses in this document describe data on “all hospital encounters” including inpatient, emergency department (ED), and observation encounters. Annualized encounter rates per 10,000 persons were calculated for the period from 2018 to October 2021 by merging CHIME data with population data.
Figure 46: Hospital Encounters

This indicator reports the number and rate of emergency room (ER) visits among Medicare beneficiaries age 65 and older. This indicator is relevant because emergency room visits are "high intensity" services that can be a burden on both health care systems and patients. High rates of emergency room visits "may indicate poor care management, inadequate access to care or poor patient choices, resulting in ED visits that could be prevented".1

In the latest reporting period there were 27,082 Medicare beneficiaries in Waterbury. Beneficiaries had 8,022 emergency room visits, and the rate of visits per 1,000 beneficiaries was 620.2. The ER visit rate in Waterbury was higher than the state rate of 607.0 during the same time period. Please note Waterbury is included in the Greater Waterbury data

CHIME Data Analysis
Greater Waterbury Comparison

The following data provided by DataHaven on behalf of the Connecticut Hospital Association, was used to identify trends within the Greater Waterbury communities by town. “CHIMEData offers data analyses and information products and services to help hospitals gauge their performance in quality improvement and patient safety, assess their financial health, track and trend the utilization of key hospital services, and meet regulatory requirements (CT Hospital Association, CHIME Data, 2022)”. Looking at the health priorities identified in the 2022 Community Health Needs Assessment the following data points were extracted from each town’s CHIME profile:

- Asthma
- Hypertension
- Mental Disorders
- Substance Use
- Type II Diabetes
Each data point is identified as an age adjusted encounter rate per 10,000 residents. DataHaven identified an age adjustment rate based on the age demographics for each town. The towns with more than 5,000 residents in the Greater Waterbury catchment area are listed in the table below:

**Table 11: Encounters by Town**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Asthma</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Mental Disorder</th>
<th>Substance Abuse</th>
<th>Type II Diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beacon Falls</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheshire</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchfield</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlebury</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naugatuck</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospect</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbury</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomaston</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>1092</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watertown</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolcott</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodbury</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: DataHaven analysis of 2018-2021 CHIME data (2022))

**Figure 47: Asthma**

(Source: DataHaven analysis of 2018-2021 CHIME data (2022))
Figure 48: Hypertension

![Graph showing hypertensions trends in various towns]

(Source: DataHaven analysis of 2018-2021 CHIME data (2022))

Figure 49: Mental Disorder

![Graph showing mental disorder trends in various towns]

(Source: DataHaven analysis of 2018-2021 CHIME data (2022))
Figure 50: Substance Abuse

(Source: DataHaven analysis of 2018-2021 CHIME data (2022))

Figure 51: Type II Diabetes

(Source: DataHaven analysis of 2018-2021 CHIME data (2022))
Is your zip code a better predictor of your health than your genetic code? Data and research helps us to consider this question. The correlation between where people live and their quality of life is an important lens to view. Take asthma for example the influences of the environment has a greater effect than ones genes. When we swap the axis of the above chart we can see the line each town follows. Waterbury’s line is higher at every indictor on the above chart. Waterbury’s encounter rate for Asthma is 36% higher than CT average and is 70% higher than the average of the other towns listed in Greater Waterbury. Hypertension encounter rates in Waterbury are 31% higher than the state age adjusted rate and 50% higher than Greater Waterbury. While mental disorder encounters are of a more similar rates in Waterbury, substance use encounter rate is 53% greater in Waterbury compared to CT and 75% greater than the rest if the catchment area. The last area of diabetes again shows a large gap in comparison with Waterbury’s encounter rate 34% higher than Connecticut’s rate and 60% greater than the rest of Greater Waterbury.
Table 12: Emergency Room Visit Rates-Medicare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Medicare Part A and B Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Emergency Room Visits</th>
<th>Emergency Room Visits, Rate (per 1,000 Beneficiaries)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>27,082</td>
<td>8,022</td>
<td>620.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>43,105</td>
<td>12,944</td>
<td>600.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>641,167</td>
<td>192,537</td>
<td>607.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, CMS - Geographic Variation Public Use File. 2020. Source geography: County)

Figure 54: Emergency Room Visits by Year

Although ED visit rates are higher in Waterbury compared to region and State, there is a demonstrated drop-in visits year-to-year. A likely reason for the sudden drop from 2019 to 2020 may be attributed to COVID-19 precautions and people feeling uncomfortable visiting the ER during this period. Many hospitals also discouraged non-emergent visits during this time as they became overwhelmed with Covid testing, patients and ED visits.
Chronic Disease and Health Risk Factors

Throughout the state, People of Color face greater rates and earlier onset of many chronic diseases and risk factors, particularly those that are linked to socioeconomic status and access to resources. For example, diabetes is much more common among older adults than younger ones, yet middle-aged Black adults in Connecticut have higher diabetes rates than white seniors.

Figure 55: Total Current Smokers, Adults by Age

(Source: DataHaven 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)

Figure 56: Selected Health Indicators by Age

(Source: DataHaven 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)
Figure 57: Health Risk Factors by Race/Ethnicity

(\textit{Source: DataHaven 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022})

\textbf{Mortality - Cancer}

This indicator reports the 2016-2020 five-year average rate of death due to malignant neoplasm (cancer) per 100,000 population. Figures are reported as crude rates, and as rates age-adjusted to year 2000 standard.

Within the report area, there are a total of 1,064 deaths due to cancer in Waterbury. This represents an age-adjusted death rate of 143.8 per every 100,000-total population. It is important to note that Waterbury is included in the Greater Waterbury report area.

\textbf{Table 13: Cancer-death Rates by Town and Region}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Total Population, 2016-2020 Average</th>
<th>Five Year Total Deaths, 2016-2020</th>
<th>Crude Death Rate (Per 100,000 Population Total)</th>
<th>Age-Adjusted Death Rate (Per 100,000 Population)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury, CT</td>
<td>109,579</td>
<td>1,064</td>
<td>194.2</td>
<td>143.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>214,395</td>
<td>2,107</td>
<td>196.6</td>
<td>140.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3,571,919</td>
<td>32,910</td>
<td>184.3</td>
<td>136.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(\textit{Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System, Accessed via CDC WONDER, 2016-2020.})
Figure 58: Cancer Mortality Rates

Cancer Mortality / 100,000 population

(Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. Accessed via CDC WONDER, 2016-2020.)

Chronic Disease
Health Outcomes reported in the Data Haven 2021 Community Wellbeing Survey

Weight: BMI and Obesity

Figure 59: BMI Analysis by Gender

(Source: DataHaven 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)

Although this chart reflects that men are more likely to report being overweight, women in Waterbury are more likely to report being obese. Clinically defined “underweight” and “normal weight” is reported less frequently then overweight and obesity overall.
Figure 60: High Blood Pressure/Hypertension

When survey respondents were asked if a doctor has diagnosed them with high blood pressure 50% of Black individuals surveyed reported yes, while 25% of Latino individuals replied yes to this question. Waterbury and the Greater Waterbury areas are reporting having high blood pressure more when compared to the statewide responses. Males also reported this more frequently than females.

| Have you ever been told by a doctor or health professional that you have high blood pressure or hypertension |
|--------------------------------|=|------=|------=|------=|------=|------=|------=|------=|
|                      | Location | Gender | Race/Ethnicity |
|                      | CT       | Waterbury | M | F | White | Black | Hispanic |
| Weighted Total:      | 9139     | 352       | 166 | 186 | 130 | 67 | 120 |
| Yes                  | 31%      | 36%       | 39% | 33% | 42% | 50% | 25% |
| No                   | 68%      | 62%       | 57% | 66% | 57% | 49% | 72% |

(Source: DataHaven 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)

Diabetes

Figure 61: Diabetes by Race/Ethnicity, Sex and Area

Being diagnosed with Diabetes was reported the most by Black individuals. Latino individuals reported this diagnosis the least amount of times. Males reported having diabetes almost double the amount of the female respondents. Waterbury residents also responded “yes” to having diabetes more frequently when comparing to the Greater Waterbury and state regions.

| Have you ever been told by a doctor or health professional that you have diabetes? |
|--------------------------------|=|------=|------=|------=|------=|------=|------=|------=|
|                      | Location | Gender | Race/Ethnicity |
|                      | CT       | Waterbury | M | F | White | Black | Hispanic |
| Weighted Total:      | 9139     | 352       | 166 | 186 | 130 | 67 | 120 |
| Yes                  | 10%      | 15%       | 20% | 11% | 17% | 22% | 8% |
| No                   | 88%      | 83%       | 77% | 88% | 82% | 78% | 90% |
Asthma continues to effect urban areas more than any other areas in CT. Females are reporting being diagnosed with asthma at a significantly higher rate than males. Latino individuals are reporting this health factor more frequently than Black or White individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Total:</td>
<td>9139</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: DataHaven 2021; Greater Waterbury Health Partnership Analysis, 2022)
Mental Health

Mental health issues like depression and anxiety can be linked to social determinants such as, income, employment, and environment. These can pose significant risks of physical health problems by complicating a person’s ability to keep up with other aspects of their health care. People of color are slightly more likely to report feeling mostly or completely anxious and being bothered by feeling depressed or hopeless. Overall, 18% of Waterbury adults report experiencing anxiety regularly and 15% report being bothered by depression. In a community like Waterbury that is over 50% people of color, one could assume that people of color are disproportionately affected by mental health challenges compared to their white counterparts.

Table 14: Selected Mental Health Indicators, Share of Adults, 2015-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiencing anxiety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Bothered by Depression** |   |       |       |        |       |                 |
| Connecticut             | 9% | 8%    | 10%   | 14%    | 8%    | 12%             |
| Greater Waterbury       | 8% | 7%    | 19%   | 13%    | 0%    | 9%              |
| Waterbury               | 15%| 13%   | 20%   | 16%    | N/A   | N/A             |

(Source: DataHaven CT)

Figure 63: Rates of Depression Symptoms

This crown image displays the tip of each point associated with a demographic area that reported the greatest number of feeling depressed. Waterbury residents are reporting feeling symptoms of depression more than CT residents in general. Female and Latino individuals are also reporting this at a higher rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Over the past 2 weeks, have you felt down, depressed, or hopeless?</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Total:</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Access to Care - Mental Health

This indicator reports the number of mental health providers in the report area as a rate per 100,000 total area population. Mental health providers are defined as psychiatrists, psychologists, licensed clinical social workers, counselors, marriage and family therapists, and mental health providers that treat alcohol and other drug abuse, as well as advanced practice nurses specializing in mental health care. Data from the 2021 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) National Provider Identifier (NPI) downloadable file are used in the 2022 County Health Rankings.

Within Waterbury there are 782 mental health providers with a CMS National Provider Identifier (NPI). This represents 467.9 providers per 100,000 total population.

Figure 64: Rate of Available Mental Health Care Providers

(Subsource: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, CMS - National Plan and Provider Enumeration System (NPPES), Accessed via County Health Rankings, 2021. Source geography: County)

Substance Abuse

Like other states, Connecticut has seen a rise in drug overdose deaths in the last several years. In 2020, Connecticut saw an average of 113 overdose deaths per month, up from 60 in 2015. White residents long comprised the bulk of these deaths, but as overall overdose death rates have increased, an increasing share of those deaths have been people of color.
Overdose Involving Fentanyl

The introduction and spread of fentanyl in drugs—both with and without users’ knowledge—is thought to have contributed to this steep rise in overdoses. In 2015 and 2016, 39 percent of the drug overdose deaths in Waterbury involved fentanyl; in 2019 and 2020, this share was 89 percent.

Accidental Intoxication

There has been a 77% increase over the past decade of accidental intoxication deaths in Connecticut. This increase can be attributed to the introduction of Fentanyl and Opioids.
Figure 67: Accidental Intoxication Death Trends in CT

(Source: Statistics (ct.gov) Calendar Years 2012 to 2021 Accidental Drug Intoxication)

Figure 68: Knows Someone with Opiate Addiction

In the chart above, White individuals report being more aware of people in their lives struggling with substance use disorder. The drastic dip amongst race and ethnicity groups indicates that Black individuals are less aware of people close to them that may be struggling. However, this does not necessarily mean substance use disorder is not present in the Black community; there may be other factors influencing awareness such as stigma.

<p>| Do you personally know anyone who has struggled with an addiction to heroin or other opiates such as prescription painkillers (like Percocet or OxyContin) at any point during the last 3 years? |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Total:</td>
<td>7258</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 69: Marijuana and Alcohol Use

This figure demonstrates that Latino individuals report the use of marijuana and alcohol at a higher rate than other race/ethnicity groups. Location and gender demographic factors measured are reporting similar rates.

### During the past 30 days, have you used marijuana or cannabis?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Total:</td>
<td>9139</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Considering all types of alcoholic beverages, did you have 4/5 or more drinks on an occasion during the past 30 days?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Total:</td>
<td>8979</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maternal Health

Birth outcomes often reflect health inequities for parents giving birth, and those outcomes can affect a child throughout their life. Often, parents of color experience more complications related to birth and pregnancy than white parents. Complications during pregnancy or childbirth also contribute to elevated mortality among parents giving birth.

A Statewide View

Figure 70: Maternal Mortality Rate per 100,000 Births, Connecticut, 2013-2017

Prenatal Care

Access to quality, culturally competent prenatal care is a key factor in birth and maternal health outcomes. Prenatal care is essential for monitoring the health of babies and birthers and provides the opportunity for early intervention if complications arise. Equally as important, access and engagement in quality, culturally competent postpartum care and
services, is associated with better health outcomes for mothers, birthers and their babies. Mothers, birthers and babies of color experience severe disparities in preterm birth, low-birth rate, maternal morbidity/mortality and infant mortality.

**Figure 71: Percentage of pregnant women who received early prenatal care by race/ethnicity and delivery payer, CT, 2016-2018**

The figure below demonstrates that there is disparity in attainment of prenatal care by race/ethnicity related to insurance type. Birthers of color on Medicaid are significantly less likely to obtain early prenatal care when compared to other races and other insurance types.


**Preterm Birth & Low Birth Weight**

**Figure 72: Trend in preterm birth (all pluralities) by race/ethnicity, CT, 2007-2018**

The figure below indicates that Black babies consistently have the highest rates of pre-term birth, followed by Latino babies.

Figure 73: Trends in low birthweight (all pluralities) by race/ethnicity, CT, 2000-2018

The figure below again indicates significant disparity between races for low birthweight. Black babies are most likely to be born with low birthweight and White babies are least likely to have low birthweight.


Infant Mortality

Figure 74: Infant Mortality Rate by Race/Ethnicity, CT, 2005-2017

The figure below demonstrates that Black babies die at the highest rate in the State of CT when compared to babies of other races. Upon initial observation the trends seem to show movement in the positive direction. However, the disparity is actually presenting highest in 2017 compared to 2005 between White and Black babies.

Figure 75: Infant mortality rate by delivery payer, CT, 2005-2017

The figure below demonstrates that babies on Medicaid die at a higher rate than babies that are privately insured.


Waterbury and Greater Waterbury Data

*There are data limitations for data available for Waterbury and Greater Waterbury.

Birth outcomes in Waterbury and surrounding area also reflect similar trends as State of CT trends. Even though there are limited data available for the area, these issues are consistent with the trends illustrated in the preceding charts and tables.

Table 15: Selected Birth Outcomes by Race/Ethnicity of Parent Giving Birth, 2016-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Latina (overall)</th>
<th>Puerto Rican Latina</th>
<th>Other Latina</th>
<th>Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Late or no prenatal care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teen Births

This indicator reports the seven-year average number of births per 1,000 female population age 15-19. Data were from the National Center for Health Statistics - Natality files (2014-2020) and are used for the 2022 County Health Rankings.

In Waterbury, of the 39,407 total female population age 15-19, the teen birth rate is 10.7 per 1,000, which is greater than the state's teen birth rate of 9.1.

Note: Data are suppressed for counties with fewer than 10 teen births in the time frame.

Figure 76: Teen Birth Rates by Area

Assessing Local Maternal and Pre-Natal Resources

The Waterbury Bridge to Success #Day43 Landscape Analysis: Preliminary Assessment of Pregnancy-Related Resources in Waterbury provides insight to the current availability and access of pregnancy related resources within the Waterbury community. This analysis identifies gaps and barriers to services as well as opportunities for improvement in areas such as cultural competency, language and community awareness. The full presentation, Waterbury Bridge to Success #Day43 Landscape Analysis: Preliminary Assessment of Pregnancy-Related Resources in Waterbury, is available in Appendix D.
Figure 77: Prenatal Resources by Zip Code

Zip Codes Matter

- Your zip code could matter more than you genetic code: BIPOC who live in areas characterized by multigenerational poverty, food insecurity and limited access to high-quality medical care are at greater risk for developing poor health outcomes and having a lower life expectancy due to these Social Determinants of Health (Graham 2016, Furman & Swigonski 2021, HealthBox Report 2019, Singh et al 2017)

- Findings:
  - Downtown Waterbury (06702) housed most sites providing pregnancy-related resources, including a Hospital (17)
  - The Waterbury Zip Code with the least number of centers providing pregnancy-related services and resources (7) is 06704, where the North End neighborhood is primarily located.

(Source: Waterbury Bridge to Success #Day43 Landscape Analysis: Preliminary Assessment of Pregnancy-Related Resources in Waterbury [PowerPoint Slides])

Figure 78: Maternity and Childcare Deserts in Waterbury

In the figure on the left the Northeast Lakewood area (encompassing a portion of Long Hill) shows a lack of maternity and child care resources. The figure on the right shows a lack of resources in the South End/Brooklyn of Waterbury. This graphic demonstrates that although the City has adequate resources, they are not evenly distributed to allow for equitable access to services.

(Local) Maternity & Child Care Deserts

*Maternity care deserts* are counties which access to maternity health care services is limited or absent, either through lack of services or barriers to a woman's ability to access that care.* - March of Dimes, 2020 Report

- “A ‘limited access’ county has less than 2 hospitals/birth centers and less than 60 providers per 10,000 births.” (March, 2015)

(Source: Waterbury Bridge to Success #Day43 Landscape Analysis: Preliminary Assessment of Pregnancy-Related Resources in Waterbury [PowerPoint Slides])
COVID-19 Impact
The DataHaven 2021 Community Wellbeing Survey (DCWS) describes how the COVID-19 Pandemic has impacted towns/cities across the Greater Waterbury Region. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most recent wave of the DCWS included questions about social distancing, access to testing, vaccination, workplace safety, trust in institutions, and the impacts of the pandemic on residents’ healthcare and economic security. Mark Abraham, Executive Director of DataHaven said the following about the survey, “The purpose of the DataHaven Community Wellbeing Survey is to produce the most accurate, locally-relevant information on issues that are most meaningful to residents, thereby adding to what public agencies are able to collect through administrative record-keeping systems...As many families face new challenges related to the coronavirus pandemic’s impact on the economy, health system, and society at large, it is encouraging that so many organizations are continuing their collaboration to collect information that truly represents the voices of residents throughout the state. (DataHaven, 2021).

Figure 79: COVID 19 impact on Connecticut

COVID-19 Vaccination
This indicator reports a point-in-time percent of adults fully vaccinated for COVID-19. Data is updated daily from the CDC API. Vaccine hesitancy is the percent of the population estimated to be hesitant towards receiving a COVID-19 vaccine. The Vaccine Coverage Index is a score of how challenging vaccine rollout may be in some communities compared to others, with values ranging from 0 (least challenging) to 1 (most challenging).

Many interesting data demonstrate that there are connections between mask compliance and death and hospitalization rates that seem inconsistent. For instance, Black individuals had high mask compliance but also high death rates and vaccine rates. However, COVID impacted those most with underlying chronic conditions. The Black population as a whole experiences higher rates of chronic disease, which is reflected in this report. Even with high vaccine rates and mask compliance there is likely a link between the incidence of chronic conditions and COVID mortality, especially in the Black population.
Table 16: COVID-19 Vaccination Rates and Hesitancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Percent of Adults Fully Vaccinated</th>
<th>Estimated Percent of Adults Hesitant About Receiving COVID-19 Vaccination</th>
<th>Vaccine Coverage Index</th>
<th>Last Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>86.21%</td>
<td>5.77%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>04/17/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Waterbury</td>
<td>85.29%</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>04/17/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>86.30%</td>
<td>5.49%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>04/17/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Center for Health Statistics, CDC-GRASP, 2022. Source geography: County)

Figure 80: Attitude Toward Vaccination by Town

The figure below indicates that Waterbury had the highest percentage of residents that were vaccine-hesitant with no plans to obtain a vaccine. Covid-19 vaccines were made widely available in 2020-2021 through a concerted effort between Waterbury Department of Public Health, several Federally Qualified Health Centers and community partners. Large-scale outreach was deployed to overcome vaccine hesitancy especially in communities of color. Attitudes towards vaccines and vaccine refusal may be in an indication of mistrust in the local Greater Waterbury health system.

Social and Economic Factors-Covid Influence

Economic security and social inequities are often associated with poor health. Poverty, unemployment, and lack of educational achievement affect access to care and a community’s ability to engage in healthy behaviors. Without a network of support and a safe community, families cannot thrive. The impact of COVID-19 on hardship and economic and financial factors was devastating for Waterbury and surrounding towns. Ensuring equitable access to social and economic resources provides a foundation for a healthy community.

Figure 81: Pandemic-Related Hardships

More adults in urban areas experienced pandemic-related hardships
Share of adults who experienced select hardships since February 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Lost job</th>
<th>Used food bank</th>
<th>Worse off financially</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural towns</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban towns</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Core towns</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Periphery towns</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealthy towns</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwich</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milford</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New London</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamford</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The COVID-19 Pandemic deeply affected Greater Waterbury and Waterbury residents’ ability to provide stable food and housing sources for their families. DataHaven reported in their COVID-19 Rapid Response Survey inequalities by race and gender, with 8 percent of White, 20 percent of Black, and 22 of Latino adults reporting food insecurity in the past year, as well as 8 percent of men and 14 percent of women statewide.

Figure 82: Urban Economic Need by Town

Adults in urban areas face greater economic need than adults in other towns
Share of adults, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Difficulty paying for food</th>
<th>Difficulty paying for housing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural towns</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban towns</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Core towns</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Periphery towns</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealthy towns</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwich</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milford</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New London</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamford</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 83: Connecticut Covid Testing, Hospitalizations and Deaths by Age, Income and Race/Ethnicity

One in five Black adults has lost a loved one to COVID-19. The following chart aggregates the “yes” responses to the following questions, “Due to COVID-19, at least one close friend or family member tested positive for COVID-19, was hospitalized due to COVID and has died from COVID-19”

One in five Black adults has lost a loved one to COVID-19  
Due to COVID-19, at least one close friend or family member has...  
Share of Connecticut adults, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tested positive</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>Hospitalized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All adults</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Tested positive</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>Hospitalized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Tested positive</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>Hospitalized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$20K</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20K-$50K</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50K+</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Mask usage was one of the mandated mitigating strategies for Connecticut Residents. 81% of adults in Connecticut reported wearing a mask very often when leaving home, with Black residents reporting the highest compliance to this strategy to reduce the spread of COVID-19.

Figure 84: Mask Compliance

Figure 85: Covid-19 Exposure Concerns

The figure below demonstrates that Black residents in the State of Connecticut were most concerned about possible exposure to the virus while at work.

**Black adults show great concern about exposure to the virus**

Level of concern about exposing self and family to coronavirus if exposed at work
Share of Connecticut adults, of those who leave home for work, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very concerned</th>
<th>Somewhat...</th>
<th>Not very...</th>
<th>Not at all...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All adults</strong></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college/assoc.</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's or higher</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 86: Residents Trust in Officials

The chart below demonstrates the great responsibility local Health officials and organizations have to ensure the livelihood and safety of local residents. An overwhelming 91% of Connecticut State residents reported trusting Health officials in 2020, which is much higher compared to trust in Police and Federal Government.

**Residents largely trust health officials to keep them safe**

Share of Connecticut adults reporting great/fair amount of trust in institutions, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health officials</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local govt</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State govt</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal govt</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Looking Ahead; Final Observations

In closing, the CHNA represents a comprehensive roadmap from which the community has the opportunity to create a Community Health Improvement Plan. Using the Established Health Priorities defined on page 16, Health Officials, Community and Clinical Partners, Local Governments and Resident Ambassadors can all play a role in determining a plan for how interventions may be provided and disparities addressed. This report indicates a high level of trust in local Health Officials, however data indicates that there is a lack of community outreach and health education happening at the neighborhood level. Food deserts, care deserts, infant death and life expectancy all vary drastically by race in Waterbury. This Community Health Needs Assessment serves as a call to action for local leadership to engage in meaningful partnerships that can lead to improved health outcomes for people experiencing the most severe disparities. This Assessment is an informative tool from which plans can emerge and solutions develop. We at Greater Waterbury Health Partnership look forward to initiating those plans and solutions with the community. Sincerest thanks to all of the contributors, reviewers and sponsors of this in-depth assessment that make this level of quality reporting possible for Greater Waterbury.

Appendix A. Community Engagement

Transforming Communities Initiative

TCI Focus Group Sessions

Trinity Health Of New England sponsored a robust Community Engagement portion of the Community Health Needs Assessment in the format of Focus Groups. GWHP, The Hispanic Coalition of Greater Waterbury and Waterbury Bridge to Success were engaged to facilitate 4 focus groups using current local data from the 2020 Census tract to initiate conversation pertaining to community health needs in their neighborhoods. GWHP provided the data PowerPoint and facilitation guide to assist the groups and guide discussion when needed, however groups were given the autonomy to choose their own facilitators and format so long as key indicators were addressed for community health and survey responses were collected. Bridge to Success and The Hispanic Coalition of Greater Waterbury conducted these groups within neighborhoods they identified as the target population. GWHP staff documented the events of each group through the collection of information collected at each event and provides the complete report in the Appendices of this report.

Summary of TCI Focus Group Sessions

The Hispanic Coalition held their focus group sessions at Riba Aspira and La Case Bienvenida – a senior center. Waterbury Bridge to Success held a focus group at Smirna Misionera and also adapted the presentation to a survey format for their Summer Sparkler events, which was able to capture experiences from Waterbury parents more feasibly for these activity-based events.

Community Input

2022 CHNA — Waterbury Community Resident Survey Highlights

The 2022 CHNA Community Resident Survey sought input on many questions. Using the 2021 DataHaven Community Wellbeing survey 352 Waterbury residents, between 5/24/2021 and 12/9/2021, completed the telephone survey conducted by the Siena College Research Institute to help identify Health Priorities for the city to address over the next three years. Below are several question excerpts from the 2022 CHNA Community Member Survey that summarize the community response.
How would you rate your overall health, would you say your health is excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How much do you agree or disagree that your neighborhood has many stores, banks, markets or places to go are within easy walking distance of your home?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How much do you agree or disagree that your neighborhood has several free or low cost recreation facilities such as parks, playgrounds, public swimming pools, etc.?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How much do you agree or disagree that you do not feel safe to go on walks in your neighborhood at night?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**2022 Transition Communities Focus Group Key Findings:**

**Trinity Health of New England: Transforming Communities Initiative (TCI) Focus Groups 2022**

Waterbury Bridge to Success (BTS) and The Hispanic Coalition of Greater Waterbury (HCGW) collaborated with The Greater Waterbury Health Partnership (GWHP) to conduct 4 focus groups within Waterbury to obtain feedback from individuals on the health needs in their community. BTS and HCGW organized and hosted 2 events each. Participants were given a $10 Dunkin Donuts gift card for attending the focus group. Light refreshments were also served during the events. GWHP provided the focus group content, survey oversight and data collection and reporting. The table below describes the composition of each focus group including the number in attendance, number of participants providing a phone number or email to reconnect for further involvement as well as the cultural diversity of the group.

**Focus Groups were held:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Facilitator</th>
<th>Number in Attendance</th>
<th>% Willing to Reconnect</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26-Jul-2022</td>
<td>RIBA Aspira Center, 233 Mill Street 3rd Floor</td>
<td>6-8pm</td>
<td>Victor Lopez, Director, Hispanic Coalition</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>86% Hispanic 14% Multiracial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Aug-2022</td>
<td>La Casa Bienvenida, 135 East Liberty Street</td>
<td>9-11am</td>
<td>Lenytza Rodriguez</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>100% Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-Aug-2022</td>
<td>Fulton Park: 438 Cooke St, Waterbury, CT 06706</td>
<td>12:00pm-3:00pm</td>
<td>Vanessa Blas</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>46% Black 44% Hispanic 10% White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-Aug-2022</td>
<td>Smirna Misionera A/G 30 Central Ave Waterbury, CT 06702</td>
<td>6:30-8:30pm</td>
<td>Pastor Angel Castellano</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>46% Black 44% Hispanic 10% White</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Methods Used:**

GWHP provided a broad overview of community and social health concerns from the DataHaven Community Well Being Survey. Facilitators at host sites delivered the discussion points in Spanish and English, as appropriate, and asked participants to record responses on surveys both in online and paper formats. All responses, attendance, facilitators and supporting information was recorded and submitted to GWHP for full analysis and reporting. Some sessions offered the opportunity for participants to be mailed a gift card thanking them for their participation.

Participants were asked to consider the following health issues and their experiences with them:

- Chronic Disease: Asthma, Hypertension, Obesity, etc.
- Opportunities for safe recreation and condition of parks
- Linguistically Appropriate Health Care
- Access to Care
- Mental Health
- Substance Abuse
- Maternal Health
- Transportation
• Respect from Medical Community

**Key Finding of the TCI Focus Groups:**

❖ **Waterbury BTS events**
Each event was facilitated by Pastor Angel Castellano. Individuals were engaged in the discussion with more than half the participants actively participating in providing feedback and answering questions more than once. The evening focus group was held in two rooms, primarily due to room constraints as the second room held a larger capacity and was partaking in another proceeding the TCI focus group. This room participated over zoom. All participants took an exit survey at the end of the focus group.

❖ **Overview of Community Responses – Those in quotes are verbatim.**

**Recreational Activity**
- Better communication to all residents about program offerings especially reaching out to low-income families about educational and nutrition programs.
- Food offerings at community health events.
- “There’s soda machines and junk food at the health events, how is that healthy?”
- Better infrastructure for parks by holding the city accountable for maintenance.
- Expansion of the downtown area.
- More sidewalks
- Improved playground equipment.
- Better aesthetics such as murals around town.
- More winter activities.
- Better security at parks.
- “Police need to do their jobs.”

**Current Barriers Preventing Physical Activities such as Exercise**
- Cost of Living
- Time in the day
- Healthy food is more expensive then unhealthy food.
- Police providing more security in the community
- “We know there’s drugs in the city, but police don’t intervene.”

**Asthma**
- Old structures in our community and cheap apartments have lead
- “Hispanics live in those old structures, they’re construction workers, and they live in the cheapest apartments that have lead.”
- Poverty is connected to asthma
- Living near the highway can contribute to asthma.
- “Hispanics are immigrants. Most live in areas that are dangerous and have no opportunities to move up.”

**Hypertension**
- Combination of genetics and life style contributes to hypertension.
- Diet is important to control blood pressure, but healthy food is so expensive.
- Promote a healthier eating culture.
- Waterbury schools should serve healthier food.
- Medication side effects to treat hypertension causes you to cough.
- “We need to change our culture around food”

**Diabetes**
- Emphasis on changing the culture of eating.
- Initially hard to manage diet changes when learning you are diabetic.
Access to Healthcare
- Many Hispanics are undocumented and do not have any health insurance.
- Medical insurance costs are so expensive

Culturally Competent Care
- Report feeling respected by their medical provider

Maternal Health
- Lack of resources and costs to afford education and prenatal services “Especially if you are undocumented”
- Too much stress on pregnant women
- Emphasis on lack of education driving maternal health disparities.

Transportation Access
- Participants expressed appreciation for the services and programs that offer transportation to medical appointments.
- Reporting difficulties in making sure that the transportation programs offer accommodations that are needed.
- “I had to call the day before the appointment to make sure my father, who is in a wheelchair, can have a car that fits him.”
- Reports of needing to wait up to 2 hours after their medical appointment for transportation back home.
- Need to find an easier way to navigate the transportation system and it should be faster.

Mental Health
- The connection to drugs and alcohol was identified by the community members.
- “There are places available in Waterbury for mental health but only when it’s urgent or if it’s life or death.
- Lack of insurance coverage is a barrier to access mental health services
- Lack of promotion of available programing is another barrier that was identified.

Substance Abuse
- Participants related to loved ones struggling with addiction.
- Drugs are a coping mechanism to help with being homeless.
- The need for more services and rehab programs was recognized.
- “Need programs that make sure they stay off it {drugs}.”
- Programs that treat the symptoms as well as the cause was mentioned as a need.
- Participants stated the importance of promoting church activities and programs to those addicted to drugs.

COVID-19
- “Everyone was affected”
- “There wasn’t enough funds, stimulus checks.”
- “Waterbury only helped in the areas they could help in.”
- “I was given two checks in the beginning to last me two years.”

Upon completion of the PowerPoint presentation and group discussion, participants were asked to complete a post survey to gather their thoughts on their community’s needs and top health priorities, below is a summary and visual of its findings. 3 questions were added to the second focus group and the information below is all reported on an overall average of responses.

39 participants completed the survey.
The visual below shows the percentage of individuals that agree there are free or low cost opportunities in their neighborhood that promote wellbeing.
Free response to what elements or recreational activities would you like to see in your neighborhood included better, safer and more parks, educational resources, community field days, more activities for 1-4 year olds and kids events, gymnastics, senior and after school programs, sensory playground, swimming activities and water safety, indoor fitness facilities and team or club sports for adults.

86% of respondents answered that they do have a person or place they consider to be a personal physician or healthcare provider?

94% respondents reported currently having medical insurance.

36% of people stated that they had to cancel a medical appointment within the past year due to not having a way to get to their appointment.

23% of the contributors report experiencing difficulties with getting transportation back from their medical appointments within the past year.

97% of individuals report feeling comfortable discussing their health concerns with their doctors.

36% of participants reported they have felt disrespected by their doctor or healthcare professional.

The following percentage of individuals reported being diagnosed with one of the below chronic medical conditions:
25% of individuals completing the survey reported having hypertension. 31% are diagnosed with diabetes while 43% report having asthma. 20% of the respondents report having 2 of the listed diagnoses, while 2 of the individuals have all 3 conditions.

Lastly the attendees were asked to list what they feel is the top health issues and how Waterbury should prioritize in addressing these health concerns. Below are the health issues listed with the first being the top priority and then proceeding down.

1. Healthcare access and culturally responsive healthcare and medical care
2. Chronic Diseases (Hypertension, Diabetes, Asthma)
3. Mental Health
4. Maternal Health
5. Substance Abuse

❖ Hispanic Coalition of Greater Waterbury events

2 focus groups were organized and facilitated by the Hispanic Coalition of Greater Waterbury team members. Both events successfully engaged community members in the discussion about health concerns in their communities. The PowerPoint presentation and data fostered conversation amongst the group about their current experiences and provided a platform to express their opinions and provide recommendations.

❖ Overview of Community Responses – Those in quotes are verbatim.

Recreational Activity
- Need safe access to parks and entertainment.
- “The playground equipment in the parks are not safe.”
- Bathrooms at parks are always closed are not ADA accessible.
- Memberships to exercise facilities are too pricey
- Increase in criminal activity, “we need increased police patrol.”

Current Barriers Preventing Physical Activities such as Exercise
- “I exercise after I see the doctor but never stick to it”
- Not many things or options are offered or are unaware of offerings.

Asthma
- Air pollution and dust is causing asthma in the community.
- “Waterbury buildings are old.”
- 30% of the attendees reported having asthma currently.
- Officials are aware of the contaminated sites yet nothing is being done about addressing the issues.

Hypertension
- Hypertension did not create much dialog
- Participants agreed with the information on the slide.

Diabetes
- “Bad habits are hard to break.”
- More education is needed for the entire family when a loved one struggles with diabetes
- The cost of food is making managing diabetes more difficult.
- “I can’t do it for longer than a month then I give up”
- Medication costs are a barrier in getting the proper treatment.

Access to Healthcare
- Not having insurance or coverage needed and being turned away.
- Language barriers presented as a significant problem an example of interpreters not giving the full context or have a different dialect then the patient resulting in miscommunication.
Medical insurance costs are very expensive

**Culturally Competent Care**
- Interpreters have represented information and do not always use the proper terminology.
- Seeing different providers through a practice has left individuals feeling unconnected.

**Maternal Health**
- “Mental health is a big issue in our community”
- “There needs to be better follow up when you need help.”
- An example was given about the follow up after having a baby when living in Michigan then having another child in Waterbury and the complete lack of support was felt in Waterbury.
- Obstacles are created because of fear of calling DCF when you ask for help.

**Transportation Access**
- “I have lost my appointment because my transportation has not arrived”
- Many participants reported concerns about getting transportation back home for their medical appointments.
- “It has taken so much longer that it’s easier not to get help unless it is severe.”

**Mental Health**
- “We are always expected to be there on time and then we are always taken late.”
- There is a stigma related to Mental Health and people are too proud to get help for mental issues.
- “You can spend money on bread and beer but spend money on Mental Health is looked down upon.”
- Have financial difficulties creates more mental health concerns.
- Lack of insurance coverage is a barrier to access mental health services
- Lack of promotion of available programing is another barrier that was identified.

**Substance Abuse**
- The entire family needs help when a family member has substance use issues.
- More programs are needed and be available when the user is ready to get help.

**COVID-19**
- Teachers’ response to remote learning was praised by many. Examples of teachers going out of their way to get supplies including food to families in need.
- “So thankful for the teachers at my children’s school for all the support during the pandemic”
- The community has learned a lot throughout the pandemic and how to hand pandemic situations in the future.
- “I am very proud of the Spanish community opening up vaccination centers”
- “We all connected and help with in our community. We were all in it together.”

Upon completion of the PowerPoint presentation and group discussion, participants were asked to complete a post survey to gather their thoughts on their community’s needs and top health priorities. 14 individuals completed the survey resulting in the following findings:

79% of attendees feel there are opportunities in their neighborhood that promote wellness for themselves and your loved ones?

Is there something that gets in the way or an obstacle that makes it difficult for you to go to recreational activities? Below is the list from 1-5 of obstacles reported with the first one being the biggest obstacle and then so on and so forth.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Park security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Distance to parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Transportation to get to parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Multiple reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Price of parks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

64% of the participants know someone with asthma.
Below is the list of explanations provided for the elevation in asthma with in the community. The first reason listed was the top reason and then so on and so forth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Outdated schools with poor circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Old structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lead dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

86% of the participants know someone with hypertension. When asked in your opinion, what makes people in your community more likely to have high blood pressure, the following responses were collected with the first being the most significant and so on and so forth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Food high in salt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not doing enough exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drinking too much alcohol or coffee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

93% of the contributors report knowing someone who has diabetes. When asked how they felt about the diabetic statistics they learned about the following causes were given, listed in order of most importance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lack of physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lack of access to medical attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Systemic racism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants were asked to give their opinion as to why their community does not have access to medical attention. The following responses were collected, listed in order of most significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Language barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No medical insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cost of services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Discrimination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29% of attendees have known someone to have had difficulties visiting a doctor for checkups following the birth of a baby. The following details were reported as to why they feel the fetal mortality rate was so high in Waterbury, in the order of most relevance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Language barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No medical insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lack of access to postpartum supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Discrimination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

71% of the people surveyed reported knowing someone that has experienced feelings of depression or without hope. The following list indicates what the partakers felt as reasons that influences depression in order of most significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Income and employment status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Family problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Immigration status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The contributors suggested the following methods to aid in breaking the use of drugs. The following is listed in order of most recommended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Better access to services for addiction treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Provide education on drug and alcohol abuse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lastly the surveyors were asked how the COVID-19 pandemic has affected them. The following reasons are listed in order of most importance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Loss of employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Low income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Buying food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Being dependent on food banks and soup kitchens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of Key Findings**

Several overarching themes presented across the focus groups throughout this summer that align with the new identified health priorities. Access to healthcare that is culturally responsive was acknowledged as a main concern needed to set the path for a happier and healthier community. Addressing chronic health conditions, with education and culturally competent care, such as hypertension, diabetes and asthma will aid in creating a healthy foundation for Waterbury residents, shifting one’s life trajectory. Maternal health disparities have been identified at every group juncture throughout this summer. A closer look of the current mental health, substance abuse and preventive care systems to identify were inefficiencies can be realigned to provide a healthier community along with more discussions about neighborhood disparities can produce healthy outcomes for our community.

**Appendix B. Partner Organizations and Contributors**

**GWHP Partner Organizations & Voting Members**

- Belinda Arce-Lopez - Center for Human Development (CHD)
- Dr. Sheila Cooperman – Western CT Mental Health Network
- Maura Esposito - Chesprocott Health District
- Julie Loughran - Connecticut Community Foundation
- Dr. Justin Lundbye - Waterbury Hospital
- Jason Martinez - United Way of Greater Waterbury,
- Althea Marshall-Brooks - Waterbury Bridge to Success
- Aisling McGuckin - City of Waterbury – Department of Public Health
- Colleen O’Connor - Malta House of Care
- Bill Rybczyk - New Opportunities, Inc.
- Donald Thompson - StayWell Health Center, Inc.
- Dr. James Uberti - Saint Mary’s Hospital
#Day43 Landscape Analysis

Preliminary Assessment of Pregnancy-Related Resources in Waterbury, CT

Main Goals During This Analysis

- Asset mapping - find what culturally responsive pregnancy-related services exist in Waterbury
  - Assess the depth of such services, including barriers and areas to expand accessibility
- Mapping of maternal health and child care deserts
- Documentation of pregnancy-related services and proposed dissemination of resources
Pregnancy-Related Services

**CT Department of Social Services** defines pregnancy-related services as:

“Services across all phases of maternal health, including prenatal, labor and delivery, and postpartum.”

- This includes licensed nurse midwife provider and hospital fees, x-rays, lab work and diagnostics, breastfeeding support, specialists, prescription drugs, newborn care, behavioral health, and substance use services
- Period of services: 40 weeks before birth to 12 months postpartum.

**Types of Services Analyzed**

- **Prenatal & Perinatal Care**
  - Hospitals & Birthing Centers
  - OB/GYN Health Clinics
  - Free Pregnancy Testing
  - Pregnancy & Family Counseling

- **Postpartum Care**
  - Social, Behavioral, and Mental Health Support & Services
  - Pharmacies
  - Food Pantries, Soup Kitchens & Diaper Banks
  - Pediatric Care Clinics
  - Child Care
  - Support for Children with Developmental Delays or Disabilities
Summary of Initial Findings

Nearly **100** pregnancy-related resources in Waterbury* were found

**Prenatal & Perinatal Care**
- 3 Hospitals & Birthing Centers
- 7 OB/GYN Health Clinics
- 1 Confidential Free Pregnancy Testing Site
- 5 centers that offer Pregnancy and Family Counseling

**General Care**
- 23 programs focused on Social, Behavioral, and Mental Health from **14** different organizations
- Over **13** different pharmacies
- **12** currently operating Food Pantries and **2** Soup Kitchens
- **2** Diaper Banks

**Postpartum Care**
- **5** different Pediatric Care Clinics
- At least **21** licensed child care centers or daycares
- **2** services focused on direct support for Children with Developmental Delays or Disabilities
- **6** services focused on breastfeeding support and consulting

*Women's Choice Perinatal Services is based in Prospect, CT but offers online services*
## Accessibility of Services

### Affordable and Free Services

Summary: 14 free services and 8 services based on a sliding scale fee.
- 1 free, confidential pregnancy testing service (Mobile Clinic van)
- 1 OB/GYN clinic offers free lactation consultations and free emergency transportation
- 3 free programs on childbirth education, breastfeeding support, and parenting
- 3 free programs for survivors of domestic violence
- 6 free family-centered social support programs and/or services
- 9 child care centers that accept Care 4 Kids Subsidy Program or offer services at low income-based costs

### Language Accessibility

Summary: At least 35 healthcare and social services that are offered in different languages.
- 35 of these services are both in English and Spanish
- All 3 Hospitals, 6 OB/GYN clinics, and 2 pediatric clinics offer services in English and Spanish
- 4 programs on DV education and support offered in Spanish and English
- 5 child-focused not-for-profit organization that has services and programs in English, Spanish, and (1 that also offers services in Portuguese)
- 5 Bilingual (Spanish & English) child care providers
- 1 pharmacy speaks 6 different languages (English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Urdu, Gujarati)
- Department of Social Services offers remote Spanish interpretation

### Specific services for Undocumented immigrants

HUSKY B Prenatal + Postpartum Coverage for undocumented pregnant individuals

### Specific services for victims of DV/IPV

3 programs that offer domestic violence education, support groups, and housing and financial assistance for eligible survivors

### Specific services for individuals with high-risk pregnancies

St. Mary’s Perinatology Program and 1 OB/GYN directly treat patients with high-risk pregnancies

### Specific services for individuals with psychiatric and/or substance-abuse disorders

8 centers that offer mental health assessments + referrals for substance abuse treatment

### Services aimed to help families with children with developmental disabilities

2 services and 1 free phone application (Sparkler) aimed to help and educate families with children who show signs of developmental delay or disability.

## Barriers & Areas to Expand Accessibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Potential Ways to Expand Accessibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Some services and healthcare procedures may be expensive, especially for individuals of low SES and/or are uninsured | - Centers should be clear about costs of services  
- Expansion & Dissemination of free or low-cost pregnancy-related resources and programs that offer subsidies  
- Policy changes to lower healthcare costs and expand insurance coverage |
| Though many services are near bus lines, others require car transportation | - Providing mobile clinics/services or telehealth medicine  
- Investing in public transportation maintenance and services; providers allocating a budget for free emergency transportation and partnering with healthcare transportation or rideshare companies (Benedetti, 2015; ALOA, Aueress, et al., 2020) |
| At least 12 services (including 5 OB/GYN clinics and 3 pediatric clinics) are closed on the weekends. Only 2 out of the 20 child care providers have extended hours and are open on weekends | - Expand hours of operation to later hours on one or two days a week  
- Allow or increase walk-in services or same-day appointments  
- Open on a Saturday or Sunday (even if once a month) (Mitchell et al., 2017; O’Brien et al., 2018; Sarkiss et al., 2019) |
| There is a need to increase and expand language accessibility, as many services are still only offered in English | - Increase number of services available in Spanish and Portuguese, preferably in-person  
- Ensure that healthcare providers have access or use interpretation services (even if remotely) |
| Sparse number of services for undocumented immigrants and children and birthers with disabilities. Also more need for doula and breastfeeding support, specifically for BIPOC families. | - Increase number of services in these fields and prioritize funding and insurance coverage for such services  
- Expand Medicaid coverage for doula services |
Zip Codes Matter
- Your zip code could matter more than your genetic code: BIPOC who live in areas characterized by multigenerational poverty, food insecurity and limited access to high-quality medical care are at greater risk for developing poor health outcomes and having a lower life expectancy due to these Social Determinants of Health (Graham 2016; Turman & Swigonski 2021; HealthBox Report 2019; Singh et al. 2017).
- Findings:
  - Downtown Waterbury (06702) housed most sites providing pregnancy-related resources, including a Hospital (17)
  - The Waterbury Zip Code with the least number of centers providing pregnancy-related services and resources (7) is 06704, where the North End neighborhood is primarily located.

(Local) Maternity & Child Care Deserts

“Maternity care deserts are counties which access to maternity health care services is limited or absent, either through lack of services or barriers to a woman's ability to access that care.” - March of Dimes, 2020 Report
- “A ‘limited access’ county has less than 2 hospitals/birth centers and less than 60 providers per 10,000 births.” (Markus & Pillai, 2021)
Food Deserts: Pregnancy Mortality & Morbidity

“Food desert”: limited access to affordable, healthy food options (UCONN Waterbury 2016)

- “The results...indicate that the residents in the North End lack access to affordable healthy food options...The majority of residents in the community are people of color and/or women. Nearly 40 percent of the residents (including 60 percent of all children) live in poverty, and lacking transportation, rely heavily on corner stores to make food purchases, which eats up what little income they have left after rent.”

- Food insecurity & food deserts have been associated with poor pregnancy and maternal health outcomes (Di Renzo & Tosto, 2021; Tipton et al, 2020; Velde et al, 2018)

Importance of Funding & Expansion for Doula Care

Doulas are trained, birthing professionals that offer physical and emotional support during and after pregnancy and childbirth.

- Studies have shown that expectant mothers with doulas had better birth outcomes, being “four times less likely to have a low birth weight baby, two times less likely to experience a birth complication involving themselves or their baby, and significantly more likely to initiate breastfeeding.” (Gruher et al, 2013)

In Aug. 2022, The CT Dept of Social Services announced a newer version of the HUSKY Maternity bundle that allows doula care and breastfeeding services to be covered by HUSKY Health starting summer 2023 (NBC Connecticut, Department of Social Services)

- However, since doulas are not yet certified in CT, doulas cannot list themselves as Medicaid providers and must work and/or be affiliated with Medicaid providers to offer Medicaid-covered doula services.
- A committee to help with approving doula training programs and creating standards for doula certification should be established by January 15, 2023 (CT Journal, CT DPH, NBC Connecticut)
**Doula Care Services in Waterbury, CT**

- Woman’s Choice Perinatal Services and Woman’s Choice Charitable Association, founded by doula Ashanti Rivera, provides virtual and in-person birthing and postpartum doula services, doula training and culturally competent birth work, as well as courses on breastfeeding, lactation support, and prenatal consults.

- Woman’s Choice Charitable Association also has a Community Doula Service program that provides free doula services to BIPOC families in Connecticut.

**Dissemination of Resources**

- Add/embed both English and Spanish pregnancy-related resource maps to Day43 web page.
- Include links to documents describing services in-depth on web page. Link to documents are also available on the descriptions in the map.
- Link and/or QR code that sends user directly to a linktree or the #Day43 web page with access to the maps and documents
  - Have links and QR codes posted on social media
  - Have QR code available to scan at community events or at a #Day43 booth
- Could make a paper brochure detailing services, #Day43 and including QR code and link to a feedback survey.
Summary & What's Next

- 90 pregnancy-related resources in Waterbury found, but not all are equally distributed and/or easily accessible to different populations
- Need to disseminate information of pregnancy-related resources (English & Spanish)
- Take the information that has been gathered and compare it to the experiences of mothers and bithers in Waterbury (Community Conversations & PRAMS).
  - Have they utilized any of these resources? Have they been helpful? What are barriers that prevent them from using such services? How has COVID affected availability of such services?
  - Creation of a feedback survey that allows constant revision of maps and documents outlining resources.
  - Based on responses & input from mothers and bithers → amend map + documents

Things to Consider

Is there anything missing in these maps and documents? Any types of pregnancy-related services that wasn't thought of?

Any other questions?
Appendix D. Additional Open-Ended Interviews in Waterbury (2022)

Additional open-ended interviews in Waterbury in 2022

Summarized by Aparna Nathan, DataHaven

This document is a thematic summary of interviews with City of Waterbury residents who participated in the 2021 DataHaven Community Wellbeing Survey, and were re-contacted by DataHaven in the first half of 2022 to participate in a semi-structured conversation about life in the City of Waterbury. Adults choosing to participate in these conversations were awarded a $40 gift card to thank them for their time.

Prompt 1: Connections to the community

- “I feel like it shapes a lot of who I am” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 27
- “We kind of have our own little culture and it’s different from everywhere else” Waterbury man, white, age 26
- “I see my future here as I have a good retirement spot where I can sit in my yard and relax and put my feet up and enjoy my grand babies” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 56
- “As a big city, we are a small community” Waterbury woman, white, age 42
- “I have an apathy about the community... I live in my apartment not the community” Waterbury man, White, age 72

Interviewees shared mixed responses about how connected they felt to the Waterbury community but tended to feel somewhat or very connected. People who felt more connected tended to grow up in Waterbury, have family in the area,
own a small business, and know community leaders. People who felt less connected had family and friends in other places or experienced a lack of agency in the community (e.g., disability, unresponsive local government).

What makes people feel more connected?

- “I’m connected with my neighbors. We’re all on the same page and we all help each other out” Waterbury man, white, age 63
- “The school system's a great connector. It helps you meet other parents and find out about things going on in the city” Waterbury woman, white, age 37
- “Public spaces make you feel more active because you get to see people, interact with people, and participate in activities” Waterbury man, Asian, age 25
- “All of our zip codes are different here... by zip code is how you know which part of town is better or what isn't” Waterbury woman, white, age 47

Interviewees said that having good relationships with their neighbors and with community leaders helped them feel connected to Waterbury. Only a few people had been directly involved in community leadership and improvement. However, neighbor relations depended on which part of Waterbury the interviewee lived in.

School was one way that people engaged with the community, whether through the public schools or homeschooling. It created networks of residents linked by common priorities who could share information. One interviewee noted that many city and school communications are sent out by email, which can make it harder for people without internet access to learn what’s going on. People also enjoyed convening in public spaces and at community events.

What makes people feel less connected?

- “The people here don't even care because the city doesn't care. If the city cared, the people would care” Waterbury woman, white, age 47
- [Regarding traffic] “During the pandemic, there was a huge influx of people. A lot of them come from the city and I would say it’s not for the best... I definitely felt safer in Waterbury and now every day I think I am going to get into an accident” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 49
- “It doesn’t feel like there’s a cohesive downtown...It doesn’t feel like a place you want to go” Waterbury woman, white, age 53
- “The crime [makes me feel less connected] because you naturally become more suspicious of outsiders, of people who aren't familiar. And that's not really a good thing” Waterbury man, white, age 63

Many people mentioned crime and safety as major factors that keep them from feeling connected to Waterbury, although they also noted that this varied by neighborhood. Some neighborhoods’ reputations of crime were a deterrent to people from other neighborhoods (“I've heard of other sections in Waterbury where gunshots are reported and someone was stabbed to death. That makes you want to stay away from there because a bullet doesn’t really have a name on it” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 46). On the other hand, some people said their neighborhood’s reputation was overstated (“Everyone calls it dangerous... but I lived in the most dangerous neighborhood in the city...it’s only dangerous when you’re getting into [gangs or drugs]... It’s got more of a community feel to it than a lot of places do” Waterbury woman, white, age 26).

Some interviewees were also disappointed by the lack of social activities and restaurants to bring people together downtown. Neighborhood blight, abandoned/condemned buildings, and unclean streets and sidewalks made people
feel less connected. Getting around Waterbury was also an obstacle. Interviewees described public transportation as unreliable and infrequent, and worsening traffic and car thefts made driving an unappealing option too. On these matters, residents were disappointed in the lack of response from the local government. People reported thefts and unsanitary and unsafe roads/sidewalks, but noted that there was no intervention.

There were mixed comments on the diversity of residents in Waterbury. Some people said diversity was a positive attribute of the city (“There's so many different kinds of people here and different backgrounds” Waterbury man, white, age 53) while others said it is still lacking (“I feel less connected here because it’s not as diverse as where I come from prior to here” Waterbury woman, Hispanic, age 60).

**Prompt 2: What should change about Waterbury?**

- “It’s not worth the effort because nothing will get changed anyway.” Waterbury man, white, age 59
- “If I’m spending 30% of my time in my car, I would like to be safe while I’m in my car” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 49
- A lot of the gentrification and the way that the prices are being raised for rent and our utilities are going up—it’s kind of kicking native people who don’t make a lot of money out” Waterbury man, white, age 26
- “Children are our future. If you don’t give them something to do, something to work towards, they have nothing to stay in the city for” Waterbury woman, Black, age 68
- “There’s a fear of things they don’t understand, or a fear of things that are new and different. I would love to see it become more inclusive place” Waterbury woman, white, age 53

One of the biggest changes people wanted to see was crime reduction and increased safety. Since many people’s perception was that crimes were being committed by youths, they also proposed that increasing activities and social services for youths could help stem crime and gang involvement in the city (“You can find yourself getting into trouble when you’ve got nothing to do” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 27). Some people also advocated for more present and caring police to ward off crime and traffic violations (“Just their presence would go a long way to helping out” Waterbury woman, white, age 63).

Other solutions included increasing support for the poor and unhoused and cleaning up trash and fixing condemned houses to beautify neighborhoods. Some people had suggestions that bridged issues: for example, getting kids involved in the community by tasking them with some of these beautification efforts, or fixing up abandoned houses and turning them into shelters. Interviewees also called for better public transportation and community events modeled after other cities such as New Haven and New York City.

However, there was some pessimism about whether the government was actually invested in enacting change. Many interviewees reported their disillusionment with local government’s responsiveness on prior matters, despite residents’ pleas to improve their neighborhoods.

**Prompt 3: Staying healthy in Waterbury**

- “The health care here is second to none” Waterbury woman, Hispanic, age 60
- “I think that everybody could use talk therapy, myself included... I would go if I had insurance” Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 27
- “When I was on HUSKY, it was very hard... I definitely felt second class” Waterbury woman, white, age 47
- “Physically it's pretty easy. Financially, it's another story. Our health insurance costs are insane” Waterbury woman, white, age 53
Interviewees’ thoughts on health care access in Waterbury seemed to vary based on their insurance coverage. People with (comprehensive) insurance said health care was very easy to access. Many people cited the two nearby hospitals as an advantage, sometimes as a replacement for primary care when appointments were limited. However, people without insurance or with limited coverage had much less faith in health care access in the area. Veterans who used health care from Veterans Affairs (VA) spoke very highly of the quality of and access to care.

Interviewees most often reported obstacles in accessing mental health care — either being unable to access it because of lack of insurance coverage or because of therapist shortages. Multiple people had to reduce the frequency of therapy for their children because of these factors. People with disabilities requiring regular medical care reported being able to access it relatively easily, especially with the help of services such as transportation to the doctor’s office or prescription delivery, although some specialties were easier to seek outside of Waterbury.

**Prompt 4: Serving the young and the old**

- “I’m navigating parenthood and I’m very optimistic and excited about the future”  *Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 27*
- “It depends where exactly you come from, but it’s not the best environment for children to grow up in. Because of the problems that the parents go through, they end up influencing their kids. It’s rough for kids here”  *Waterbury man, Asian, age 25*
- “It depends where you are in Waterbury... people who live further in the city don’t have the same opportunities that my kids have in their school”  *Waterbury woman, Hispanic, age 45*
- “My children grew up here and I think when they grew up and graduated, it was a much better place than it is now. If I had to raise a child here now, I would choose not to.”  *Waterbury woman, white, age 47*

Outlooks on children’s health were mixed and varied by neighborhood. But people recognized that the school system overall was underfunded and had a shortage of resources to serve all students. There was also a lot of variation in what the schools offered and how healthy children’s lives were based on the neighborhood. Some neighborhoods had schools without air conditioning or sufficient teachers, or with more violence and gang activity. Residents appreciated nearby parks and organizations like the YMCA that served younger children. Interviewees suggested that more activities for older kids, such as sports, and more career opportunities could help make Waterbury a healthier place for kids throughout their childhood.

- “I’m 72 and I still live here. I could move if I wanted to or if I needed to, but everything is here that I need”  *Waterbury woman, white, age 72*
- “I’m heading toward retirement in a couple of years and I’m not sure if I can retire in the Waterbury area. The combination of high taxes and inflation rate is just bad news”  *Waterbury woman, white, age 63*
- “It depends on what neighborhood you live in. If you have the wrong element in your neighborhood, then the older you get, the more you’re considered prey”  *Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 46*
- “I don’t know how I’m going to feel when the hills start to get me. I’m in my early 50s now and it’s already bad on my knees. But everybody lives on a hill or at the bottom of a hill”  *Waterbury man, white, age 53*

While many of the people interviewed were unable to comment on this first hand, their general impression was that it is a healthy place for people as they are aging because of senior centers and programming for older adults. People remarked that they had seen groups of older adults gathering at community centers and restaurants. Some older interviewees reported taking advantage of programs such as Meals on Wheels or transportation for medical appointments and prescription delivery.
Some concerns were the terrain, which is hilly, and poor quality roads and sidewalks. In areas with higher crime rates, some people were concerned that older people might be more common targets of crime. Having family and friends nearby kept some older interviewees from getting isolated, and made Waterbury an appealing place to retire. Others were concerned about the rising cost of living.

**Prompt 5: Isolation and pandemic changes**

- “Sometimes it feels like you need more people to talk to when you’re going through certain things that nobody else around you is going through at the same time... it feels like a huge weight on you is by your own” *Waterbury man, Asian, age 25*

Most people did not feel isolated because they lived with family or had friends that they were routinely in touch with. Interviewees’ social connections were maintained by hanging out in person, texting, phone calls, and social media. Hobbies were also important social settings for many people. However, some people still reported feeling isolated — sometimes because they lived with family or others that couldn’t help them cope with their day-to-day problems, because they lived alone, or because they were overwhelmed by caretaking and other responsibilities.

- “[The stimulus checks] definitely saved my mental wellbeing quite a bit, which I think is extremely important.” *Waterbury man, Hispanic, age 27*

Nearly everyone had been affected by the pandemic in some way: shifting to working from home, losing their job, relying on savings to pay bills, homeschooling children, getting COVID-19 themselves, or facing a death in the family. Many people received stimulus payments, unemployment, or child tax credits that helped mitigate financial strain. Some pandemic changes, such as working from home and homeschooling, have continued even after workplaces and schools reopened. Some people are still dealing with lasting effects of contracting COVID-19 or are still out of work (not by choice).
Appendix E. United Way ALICE Map
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